

No. 233 JULY 1988

Spearhead

50p



DEMOCRACY'S CHILDREN

**Our comment on
football yobbery
(Page 2)**

Nationalist comment

WHAT WE THINK

on the month's news

Products of 'democracy'

What can be said about our hooligans abroad that has not already been said, and at length, in previous issues? As a comment on the drunken rampages of English football fans in Germany last month, we can only repeat, in *precis* form, our summaries of the past. It amounts to this: the disgraceful conduct of these slobs in foreign parts, as well as on our own soil, is the whirlwind we reap for our long-standing national commitment to the ideals of 'liberalism' and 'democracy'.

In this country it has become an article of almost religious faith that *laissez-faire* principles should permeate our entire outlook on the structure, spirit and goals of society. We cluck in moral disapproval of those regimes about the world, in these and past times, which have subjected their young to control and regimentation. We have sung with fervour the virtues of the absolutely sovereign individual will, curbed only by the restraints of ordinary law. Our progressive thinkers have created a new demon of the 20th century and called him 'Authority'. We have seen it as the task of education only to impart knowledge and never to instil values. We have seen discipline as something with a place in the armed forces but almost nowhere else. We have recoiled with horror from the thought that the vast vacuum of time existing in the lives of the young should be filled with

organisation in pursuit of constructive national tasks.

As a result of this abdication and neglect, boredom of undreamt-of dimensions has descended like a massive cloud over the lives of millions of our youth — a boredom to escape from which increasing numbers seek the cheap thrills of pointless violence and gang warfare.

But there is another element contributing to the same social sickness. In the intellectual temples erected by 'liberals' up and down the land a culture has been bred which is increasingly out of contact with the rugged world we live in; it is a culture dedicated to emasculation in all things, to the breeding of a synthetic, homogenised, raceless, bloodless type of human being, the perfect citizen for the pacific one-world order that has been the progressive liberal dream across the ages. It is a culture that seeks its evolutionary goal in a 'unisex' mankind: tame, denatured, unmuscular and estranged from all tribal emotions and loyalties, jiggling to the downtown beat of Cosmopolis and deaf to the tunes of glory.

While the ideological left is the standard-bearer of this contemporary culture, all the political factions of 'democracy' today pursue their policies, and confine their actions, within its spiritual orbit. It regulates everything that is permissible, and even conceivable, to our current legislators and governors.

Against this unnatural order a groundswell of human revolt is bound to emerge. And if it does not emerge in an organised form — that is to say the form of a disciplined revolutionary movement directing natural human aggression to a positive and creative purpose — it will emerge in an anarchic form, uncontrolled, unco-ordinated and spent only in useless pursuit of momentary gratification — with violence and aggression expended on police and innocent bystanders, instead of being directed against the real evils and evildoers of modern society.

Football hooliganism is merely the most prominent manifestation existing at the present time of this overflowing of pent-up aggression, kept imprisoned by a social order that no longer has room for the fighter and

warrior, or for any kind of tribal expression, and released in an aimless orgy of destruction because lacking a coherent national goal.

Labour Deputy Leader Roy Hattersley has called the yobboes of Stuttgart, Dusseldorf and Frankfurt "Thatcher's children." Yes, they are indeed Thatcher's children, but so also are they Kinnock's and Hattersley's children, in fact the children of our entire political and social system and the values to which the system is dedicated. They are the children of a system that can offer its youth no mighty goals of national construction, expansion and conquest, no sense of crusade or struggle against real and tangible national enemies, no outlet for the disciplined and controlled expression of national ardour.

Not untypically, Mrs. Thatcher herself seeks to divert attention from her government's responsibility for this depressing malaise by calling for the football authorities to take new initiatives to reduce the possibilities for a recurrence of the mayhem. Among the suggested panaceas has been the idea that the English national team, as well as all English club teams, should withdraw from international competition until a remedy for the trouble has been found.

This is just a ridiculous and pathetic passing of the buck. Football is not responsible for what happened in Germany; politics are responsible — to be specific, the politicians of the old gang, who do not know how to keep order in this country, who do not know how to mould young people into useful and properly behaved citizens, who do not know how to create a sense of national pride that would preclude yobbish and drunken conduct on foreign soil, and who have no idea how to offer young men channels for their natural aggression in a manner beneficial to nation and race.

Above and beyond this, our entire contemporary national culture is responsible, with its feminising tendencies that are bound to produce a profound psychological disorder of which these blind and crazy rampages are the inevitable consequence.

Our contemporary society will of course not take the steps needed to put an end to these disorders because it is certain to see such steps as leading, inevitably, to some form of 'fascism'. It will therefore continue merely to agonise, moralise and speechify in response to the problem, playing about with 'solutions' that do more than touch the problem at its periphery rather than tackle it at its core. As with IRA bomb-outrages, we will continue to be treated to mere sermons and pious appeals to everyone's 'reason' and 'decency'. The surgical knife will not be applied; and the patient, Britain, will become progressively worse. That is all we have to hope for the foreseeable future. We are sorry not to be able to end the matter on a more optimistic note; but, knowing our rulers as we do, and knowing the perimeters of permissible action within which they are imprisoned if 'democracy' is to survive, we can offer no more hopeful prognosis.

Everyone is for Mandela!

We should not have been surprised, least of all outraged, that the BBC chose to place its considerable resources of publicity at the disposal of the organisers of the Nelson

SPEARHEAD

No. 233 JULY 1988
PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS
Editor: John Tyndall

Spearhead exists to reflect a cross-section of contemporary British Nationalist opinion. It is privately published by its founder and is independent of all political parties and groups.

Unless specifically stated to the contrary, the views expressed in signed articles or letters in *Spearhead* are the sole responsibility of their writers and do not necessarily represent the views of the Editor or the policies of any political organisation that *Spearhead* may support editorially.

The appearance of an advertisement in *Spearhead* is not necessarily indicative that the Editor has any knowledge of, interest in or support for the product, service, organisation or function being advertised.

Spearhead welcomes enquiries from potential advertisers, to whom rates will be sent on request. Advertising matter, accompanied by pre-payment, must be submitted at least one month prior to the publishing date (normally the first day of each month) of the issue for which the advertisement is intended. The Editor reserves the right to refuse to publish advertisements submitted.

The Editor is pleased to receive from readers manuscripts of articles for possible publication, which should normally not be longer than 1,250 words and should be typed in double-spacing. No payment is made for articles published, which become *Spearhead* copyright unless authors specifically request otherwise at the time they submit their manuscripts. The Editor reserves the right to shorten or otherwise amend articles accepted for publication should shortage of space or editorial judgement require such alteration to be made.

Those wishing to reprint *Spearhead* articles must first gain the permission of the Editor and undertake to include with the printed matter the author's name and the name and address of *Spearhead*.



JAMBOREE OF DEGENERACY AND RACE TREASON
Scene of mass frenzy at the Mandela birthday 'rock' concert at Wembley

Mandela 'rock' concert at Wembley Stadium last month. As an institution dedicated to waging war against the White Man everywhere in the world where he still retains some modicum of power, honour and self-respect, our national broadcasting corporation was bound to see in this jamboree of jungle noise, sponsored in recognition of an anti-white terrorist, a good cause worthy of its support.

What perhaps should make us ponder more deeply is the nature of the reaction that came from those in political office when this event, and the BBC's involvement in it, was first known about. According to news reports, a small group of bankbench Conservative MPs, led by Mr. John Carlisle, voiced strong disapproval of the BBC's part in the promotion of the show. Just a small group of backbenchers, mark you — that is all! From the remaining 300-odd Tories in the House of Commons there was nothing. What about Mrs. Thatcher herself? Well, actually someone did write to the Prime Minister to find out why she had not associated herself with this protest, and they received a reply from her office to the effect that the matter was not considered one in which the government should intervene. Furthermore, said the official replying to the enquiry, the Premier had herself called for the release of Nelson Mandela from prison. In other words, the inference to be drawn from this was that Maggie regarded the concert, and the BBC's advertising of it, as perfectly right and proper!

It fell to Richard West, writing in *The Daily Mail* on July 11th, to remind the nation of just what sort of a person was the man who had become such a folk hero in this country. Said Mr. West:-

"...Nelson Mandela is not a prisoner of conscience. He was jailed, after a fair trial, for what the prosecution called planning acts of sabotage and the overthrow of the state. His political party, the African National Congress, is dedicated to violence and acts of intimidation such as the now infamous 'necklace' — burning to death with a tyre full of petrol."

Mr. West went on to remind his readers that even the *Rand Daily Mail*, a paper opposed to the South African government's

policy of *apartheid*, had said at the time of the sentences passed on Mandela and his collaborators in 1964:-

"They could not have been less severe than those passed. The men found guilty had organised sabotage on a wide scale and had plotted armed revolution."

The writer also brought us up to date in respect of Mandela's attitudes, stating quite correctly that the South African government of P.W. Botha had offered to release him on the condition that he would renounce violence as a political weapon. He had refused the offer. In addition, said West of the jailed ANC leader:-

"His wife, Winnie Mandela, who, we assume, is his mouthpiece, has stated her own views on violence: 'Together, hand-in-hand, with our boxes of matches and our necklaces, we shall liberate this country.'"

This is the man our **Conservative** prime minister would like to see given his freedom. This is the man the 'pop' music world honoured last month on the occasion of his 70th birthday, with the full backing of the BBC. Truly do these facts tell us much about the times we live in!

So there!

The Sunday Express and *The Daily Mirror* have recently been engaging in a heated argument concerning the achievements of the Conservative and Labour Parties which, respectively, they support. It all began when the *ST* printed a leader item on the 5th June stating that ten years previously "An American president might have thought it a waste of time to stop over in Britain on his way home from a summit. And he would never have dreamed of uttering the sort of compliments that President Reagan paid to Mrs. Thatcher last week."

The paper went on to say that "The quite extraordinary revival of our nation's standing in the world owes much to our improving economic performance. It owes even more to the courage, drive and sheer force of personality of Margaret Thatcher herself...who but a curmudgeon could deny that she has given us back our pride in ourselves as a nation, and

restored our hope for the future?"

This apparently displeased Joe Haines, Harold Wilson's former press secretary, who now writes for the *Mirror*. Mr. Haines considered the remarks of the *Sunday Express* to be a slur on the Labour government and prime minister he served, and he pointed out that in 1970 President Nixon paid a brief visit to Mildenhall while in 1977 President Carter went to Durham.

But this did not convince the *Sunday Express* that it had been wrong in its claims. "Poor old Joe," its editor cried, "...the fellow can't even count. The Nixon visit in 1970 was 18 years ago. The Carter visit in 1977 was 11 years ago. Neither falls within a ten-year period."

So it appears that the *Sunday Express* has won the argument over which British government, Mrs. Thatcher's of recent times or Mr. Wilson's two Labour administrations of 1964-70 and 1974-79, has won the most frequent pats on the head from our American masters. Undoubtedly it has been when Maggie has been leader that our country has been most regularly thus honoured!

The next time Ronnie or his successor drops off here on a visit somewhere else we must ensure that all Union Jacks fly proudly at the tops of their masts, that we all stand to attention and pay our respects to our lady premier and that we sing a couple of choruses of the national anthem — in recognition of the vastly increased standing in the world that Britain has achieved by such crumbs of US patronage.

And who, in the light of this spectacular national resurgence, could possibly do other than vote Conservative next time round?

The death penalty: 'democracy' triumphs again!

'Democracy' triumphed once again at Westminster in the vote on capital punishment that took place on June 7th. The motion to restore the death penalty was defeated by 341 votes to 218, a majority of 123 which surpassed the previous majority against a similar motion last year, that being defeated by 112 votes. In other words, notwithstanding the fact that a huge majority in the country favours the return of capital punishment, parliament, by contrast, is becoming more, rather than less, opposed to this majority will with each year that passes.

The customary wild cheers greeted the verdict last month. And why not? After all, 'democracy' had again shown its true colours as a system representing government of the people, by the self-appointed 'liberal' *elite* and for that same *elite*. Yet another demonstration had been given of the power of the *elite* over the common masses who elect and pay it, and of the olympian-contempt in which that *elite* in fact holds the mass in whose name it governs.

It seems not to matter to this collection of hypocrites that murders of the very foulest kind are still on the increase. Last month the IRA claimed yet more victims, and further horrific sex killings took place. But all this leaves our masters cold as they relentlessly pursue their 'liberal' utopia behind the walls of our most hallowed debating chamber — all in the name of the people, of course!

'FREEDOM', 'HUMAN RIGHTS' AND 'GLASNOST' — ALL WITH A STRAIGHT FACE!

In the wake of Reagan's Moscow visit, JOHN TYNDALL asks: who are we to lecture to the Russians?

HUMBUG being western liberal-democracy's outstanding product, we should not have been astonished to see some prize samples of this commodity included among the luggage taken by President Reagan to the Soviet Union at the end of May and exhibited proudly to the citizenry there.

This, however, did not lessen the feeling of nausea assaulting the nostrils of honest-minded people as they listened to the numerous sermons delivered by Ronnie to the Russians on the virtues of the 'free' society supposedly instituted in his own country and its satellites and now, perhaps rightly, perhaps wrongly, believed to be taking its first tentative steps in Russia itself.

In this loud hymn of encouragement to *glasnost*, the US President was of course only the lead singer in the chorus. Accompanying him were voices ranging across the entire

spectrum of western politics (with the shrill tones of Mrs. Thatcher in special prominence) and the orchestrated wind of the western media, excelling even their own customary standards of moral unctuousness as in a well harmonised *moderato* they applauded Mr. Gorbachev's initial moves to unlock the doors of the Soviet prison and sounded the prayer that the process would continue.

And all this with an air of solemnity which emphasised that nobody was joking!

But of course to those of us with some foothold in the world of reality the whole show had about it the flavour of pantomime. It was as if we had been transported to an arena of human affairs where beanstalks actually did grow far into the sky and people climbed up them towards the heavens, where fairies waved wands and conjured pretty gifts from out of the air, where animals talked and genies jumped out of bottles. For this is about the level of seriousness with which we can view the current debate about what is going on in Russia, based as it is on the assumption that the new spirit of 'openness' in that country is proceeding according to some standard set by the West in such matters. In truth, just whom does Mr. Reagan think he is kidding? Just who is Maggie trying to fool? And what kind of children do our broadcasters and newspaper writers take us for?

MEANWHILE, BACK IN THE STATES...

For just as the former Hollywood actor was lecturing his Russian hosts on the virtues of 'freedom' and 'human rights' a court action had recently been concluded back home in Fort Smith, Arkansas, in which a group of political dissenters had stood facing charges of 'sedition', cooked up by the US Attorney General for no stronger reason than that they wished to preserve — or perhaps rather restore — America as a white nation. A jury threw out the charges because they were so manifestly ludicrous; but this was certainly not for want of effort towards conviction on the part of the prosecutors, who to this end employed tactics of intimidation, skulduggery and faked 'evidence' of which the KGB would have been proud.

Mr. Reagan's declarations in favour of 'openness' sound touching — except to those

who are aware that no such thing exists in his own country. Some years ago, when visiting the USA, I had the pleasure of being a guest at the home of Mr. Wilmot Robertson, the editor and publisher of a highly articulate monthly journal called *Instauration*. This journal is not found on sale alongside *Time*, *Newsweek* and other magazines on the newsstands and bookstalls across America because it is effectively banned — yes, **banned** — from mass circulation. This is not because it is obscene, or because it advocates violence, terrorism or any other kind of criminality, but solely because, like the defendants in the Arkansas trial, it champions the white race. It also has some critical things to say about the state of Israel. For these reasons, among others, no large magazine distributor in the country will dare to handle it — for fear of business reprisals, including boycotts and the cutting off of bank loans, which certain 'interests' exercising great power in America are able to arrange. Precisely the same has happened to Mr. Robertson's brilliantly written and documented book, *The Dispossessed Majority*, which has been blacklisted by bookshops and public libraries across the United States. The offence of this book? It pinpoints the way in which racial minorities in America have wrested control of that country from its indigenous Anglo-Saxon and other North European pioneer peoples. And that is *verboten*!

And what of 'openness' in discussing, for instance, America's present embroilment in the middle eastern troubles? I quote:-

"Israel is virtually the only foreign policy issue that matters in American politics. It is a subject so touchy, so surrounded with taboos and passionate conviction and so divisive that rational debate of America's close relationship — especially during presidential elections — is almost impossible. For most candidates, the safest policies on the Middle East are those that outdo their rivals in support of Israel. Or silence."

My words? The words of one of my co-writers in *Spearhead*? No, words straight from an article in *The Times* of the 26th March this year. Taboos? Rational debate impossible? Silence a safe policy? Are these not things that we have learned to identify with the Stalinist era in Soviet Russia, an era

Contd. on next page



HYPOCRITE

Reagan pontificates about 'human rights' but his own government persecutes its dissidents, just as in Russia

to which Mr. Gorbachev may or may not be putting an end? Are they things that can actually exist in the 'free', 'democratic' United States, where people, including dissenters, have 'human rights'? Yes, they can. And yes, they do! But this does not inhibit Ronnie from going to Russia and handing out strictures to the Kremlin leaders on the urgency of 'liberalisation' and saying to a group of Soviet dissidents: "On human rights, on the fundamental dignity of the human person, there can be no relenting, for now we must work for more, always more."

...AND IN CANADA


Just over America's northern border lies Canada, another country whose leaders are fond of hot-gossiping to the world about 'freedom' and 'democracy' and who no doubt echo the sentiments of their neighbours' President on the subject, as he has been expressing them so loudly on the international stage. Canada is of course part of the 'free West' that sets such a sterling example to the Soviets in its espousal of human liberties.

It will therefore come as a surprise to millions of people to learn that a Canadian citizen, Ernst Zundel, was recently sentenced by a court in Toronto to nine months' imprisonment for distributing literature refuting the allegation that six million Jews perished in the 'holocaust'. Now the vast majority of people in Canada and elsewhere will almost certainly disagree with Mr. Zundel's views, but the very idea that a man in a 'free' country like Canada should be sent to jail for expressing such views is, to say the least, a revelation. But, strangely, this revelation has barely, if at all, impressed itself on the consciousness of millions of people around the western world — for the very simple reason that news of it has been almost entirely hushed up. Newspaper readers and TV viewers in Canada will have heard about what happened to Zundel, but elsewhere his conviction for thought-crime has scarcely received a mention. And this is the western world whose rulers cluck with ecstatic approval at *glasnost*.

But absence of *glasnost* and 'free speech' in Canada has not only affected Mr. Zundel; **anyone** in that country found to be in possession of literature deemed by the authorities to be 'racist' in nature, or to displease the mightily powerful Jewish community, stands to have that literature confiscated by the police and may very well themselves be prosecuted for touching it. Canada is of course prominently represented among signatories to any and every international declaration in support of 'human rights'.

FRONTIER OF THE 'FREE' WEST

Wending its way down the centre of the country which we presently call Germany is a barbed wire fence several hundreds of miles long which Sir Winston Churchill once called the 'Iron Curtain'. On the eastern side of that fence are machine gun towers, land mines and dog patrols, placed there to ensure that no-one



DON'T INTERFERE!
This pose by Gorbachev suggests that he doesn't like being preached to by Reagan

crosses it in either direction. On the western side, so we are told, is 'freedom' — the freedom which Britain and America brought to the Germans in the wake of the latter's defeat in World War II. So lucky to be liberated from 'totalitarianism' as a consequence of the demise of Hitler, the inhabitants of the *Bundesrepublik* are considered today to be part of that entity known as the 'free world' which American tanks and missiles are stationed in their territory to protect, and to which many of their unfortunate compatriots to the east have tried, sometimes successfully, sometimes not, to escape. West German servicemen of today do not wear jackboots but sport Yankee-style helmets and are issued with hair-nets because they are soldiers of 'democracy', manning the frontier zone of western civilisation to ensure that those precious human rights and liberties that we brought to them in 1945 are safeguarded and passed on to their children. Or so we are told.

But the fact is that up and down 'free' West Germany the jails are filled with numerous people whose only offence has been to propound the doctrine of nationalism — or, as in some cases, to question the assertion that their fathers and grandfathers in World War II were criminals. One of these is Manfred Roeder, who is serving a 13-year sentence in Butzbach Prison on a trumped-up charge of complicity in bombing incidents — resting on no firmer evidence that he had personally known some of those involved. Not a shred of proof was produced in Roeder's trial that he had had any part of bombing himself. He was convicted and put away for 13 years solely because he is a German patriot who, for some years before his arrest and confinement, was active in a number of political organisations

whose slogan was 'Germany for the Germans'. Now that may or may not be a shocking idea — it depends entirely on one's point of view; but the fact that it is an idea which today citizens of the 'democratic' West German Republic are not allowed to espouse is something that will come as news to those who believe that totalitarianism in that country died with National Socialism.

Then there is the case of Dr. Wilhelm Staglich, a former judge, who was stripped of his state pension a short time ago by the West German government after having been found guilty, like Mr. Zundel in Canada, of disbelieving in the 'holocaust'.

It will also perhaps come as news to many people that there is a law in the Federal German Republic popularly known as *berufsverbot*, under which German citizens believed to have connection with 'extreme' political organisations (i.e. organisations which strongly dissent against the 'establishment') are denied employment in a wide range of public service occupations, including the civil service and education.

Has Mr. Reagan protested to Chancellor Kohl about lack of 'human rights' in West Germany? I am not aware of it.

'MOTHER OF THE FREE'

And how are 'freedom' and 'human rights' faring in the cradle of modern democracy, Great Britain? Perhaps I am in as good position as anyone to talk about this, as just two years ago this month I was sentenced, along with my colleague John Morse, to a year's imprisonment for publishing material critical of this country's ethnic minorities. People are of course entitled to agree or disagree with what we said; but it is again something of a revelation that things have reached a stage where we are not allowed to say it! We appealed against our sentence and had it reduced to six months, the appeal court judge saying that the original sentence had been "marginally too severe." In other words, what we had said was still a crime but not quite as big a crime as thought earlier!

Others venturing into the same realm of controversy have shared our fate. But that is not all. Throughout the length and breadth of Britain today our movement is banned from hiring publicly-owned meeting halls, as well as holding marches. In some cases the pretext for these bans is that they are imposed in the interests of 'public order'; in others the explanation is more frank: we are 'racists', you see, and in democratic Britain of the 1980s 'racists' must not be allowed a public platform!

We are likewise almost wholly banned from radio and television. Of course the ban is not official, and no-one in authority would acknowledge that it exists; it is simply that we almost never receive invitations to make our voices heard on discussion programmes — even though organisations no larger than ours, and with no greater public support, frequently do get invited.

Contd. overleaf

'FREEDOM', 'HUMAN RIGHTS' AND 'GLASNOST' — ALL WITH A STRAIGHT FACE!

(Contd. from prev. page)

It is a well established fact — though, again, never an honestly acknowledged one — that a firm censorship exists in Britain with regard to such topics as race, the Jewish question, the conspiracy theory and international finance, in the sense that in the world of the press and broadcasting a totally one-sided presentation of these topics is given, while on others there is never any mention made at all; but there is no opportunity ever given for a view to be heard on them that might offend the powers that be.

Even homosexuality has now joined the galaxy of subjects on which only one viewpoint may now be put forward, so that Brandon Jackson, the Bishop of Bradford, can now say: 'It's those who are against them who are in the closet, and the homosexuals who are in the open.'

UNIVERSAL SUPPRESSION

In Britain, the United States, Canada, West Germany, France, Holland, Sweden, Austria, Italy and numerous other western and 'democratic' countries, suppression is practised, in a variety of ways, against people, organisations and doctrines that do not 'conform' to the established orthodoxy — which, broadly speaking can be described as 'internationalist-liberal'. The bodies exercising the suppression, and the methods by which it is exercised, may not in all cases be the same as in Soviet Russia. Sometimes the suppressing agent is the government itself; sometimes it is an institution that has a private status but is nevertheless allied to the state and carries out its dirty work without the latter having to have its hands soiled. Thus does the 'free press' in the democracies decide what opinions may or may not be publicly aired and what issues may or may not be publicly debated — without any official laws existing to codify such censorship. Thus do private lobbies and pressure groups in the United States ensure that no serious presidential candidate will ever say or do anything detrimental to their interests — merely by it being known that he will be denied their financial and mass media support if he transgresses this unwritten rule.

'Dissident' individuals and organisations in this country and throughout the western 'democracies' have their telephones tapped and their mail opened, their premises bugged and the ranks of their active followers infiltrated — all usually by departments of the police, operating under the euphemism of 'state security'. And such people's freedom of travel is as effectively curbed as that of Russia's *refuseniks* — as I well know by having seen friends of mine from abroad barred from entering this country for no other reason than that their politics render them, in the eyes of the Home Office, 'undesirable

aliens'.

People are railroaded into jail in the West for dissident opinions, just as they are packed off to *gulags* in Russia for the same reasons. In some countries, such as West Germany, this is done in frank acknowledgement that there are certain political ideas which may not be propagated or promoted. In other countries, such as our own, all this is done in the name of laws supposedly instituted for the purposes of 'public order' or 'community relations' but designed, beneath all the cant and all the piety, to gag those considered dangerous to that all-pervasive power, the liberal establishment.

In the 'free' and 'democratic' West, every man or woman ambitious to pursue a career in public service, the communications media, big business, the academic profession or the armed forces knows that he dare not, if he is to be successful, give open voice to opinions that have not been thoroughly vetted and found 'safe' by the guardians of the liberal conscience. As Orwell remarked, it is not that expression of such opinions is actually banned by law; it is just that it is 'not done'. The educated and the informed know that it is not done, and the need to remind them of this fact by making an example of some prominent rebel against the prevailing orthodoxy seldom arises. Enoch Powell was the last substantial public figure to be burned at the stake in this way; since then there have been no others willing to court the same fate.

DISPENSING SALVATION TO THE HEATHEN

It was against this background that Mr. Reagan went to Moscow decked out in the clothes of a crusader for 'freedom', 'human rights' and every other sweet-sounding abstraction beloved of the authors of the liberal catechism. It was against this background that he wagged the schoolmasterly finger at the Russians, as good as telling them that their progress to a more 'open' society was welcomed but that it must be accelerated.

Mr. Gorbachev and his fellow hosts were quite understandably irritated by this preaching, which represented a departure from diplomatic etiquette that is inexcusable whatever the country one is in; and they as good as told Reagan: "Don't interfere in our affairs!" One does not have to be a sympathiser with communism to agree with their attitude. But what they did not say to their guest we might indeed complete the picture by saying ourselves, namely: "Just who are you, Mr. President, to strut the world posing as a champion of human freedoms and rights, and calling for 'openness' in discussion of the great public issues, when you yourself represent a system which has erected its own *gulags* to shackle its dissidents, which has imposed the clamp of censorship on 'unorthodox' views as effectively as ever did any Stalinist ruler, which eschews and dreads 'openness' whenever it comes to a public scrutiny of any of the rigidly guarded sacred cows of 'liberal' opinion — particularly

where race comes into the reckoning?

"And who are you, Mrs. Thatcher, to coo and drool your approval of the Reagan line, in the manner of a poodle bitch wagging her tail at the voice of her master, when you yourself minister a country where independent thought and speech are even rarer commodities than in his diocese? Who are you to prattle on about 'democracy' when the government of which you are head locks up those among your countrymen who rebel against the new order of multi-racialism which you have instituted — without once asking the consent of the electorate by whose favour you were put into power? Who are you to croon the blessings of 'openness' when public discussion of the merits of this new order has effectively come to an end — blue-pencilled out of the newspapers, rigorously excluded from TV programmes and nervously avoided by all your political colleagues as if it bore the virus of AIDS?"

HOBSON'S CHOICE BETWEEN TWO TYRANNIES

I am no admirer of the Soviets. I have spent most of my adult life fighting the political system and ideology they represent. But I seriously question whether the blatant tyranny represented by the Stalins of this world and their successors and imitators is any worse than the much less honest tyranny practised by the high priests, con-men, governesses, word-spinners, money-monopolists and political gangsters who operate the racket known as 'democracy', concealed as this tyranny is behind the daily lisps about 'freedom' that punctuate their speeches and writings in a diarrhoea of moral pomp and circumstance.

This is what gives to the international stage of today its dominating odour of falsehood and farce, as its lead actors and actresses vie with each other in proclaiming themselves the staunchest advocates of 'liberties' and 'rights' that exist only in the fantasy world of their own propagandists and the dumb millions who swallow the fictions that they create.

So why, truly, did President Reagan go to Moscow? Of course, we will never be told — because the realities of world politics constitute an area of knowledge that our masters believe should forever be closed to us — and all for our own good! So instead we are offered Children's Hour, an interpretation of what is happening that will leave us with nice thoughts as we are tucked up in our beds for the night. Prince Charming Ronnie, accompanied by Princess Nancy, go to the house of Comrades Mikhail and Raisa bearing some tasty candies. Mikhail looks at them and shows an interest in them. "But don't rush me too quickly," he says. "Let me sample them little by little, rather than in one single gulp." "OK," Ronnie says, "all in your own good time!" The two embrace and smile at each other. The sun shines. The supporting cast cheers. The curtain comes

Contd. on page 18

A VISION OF GRANDEUR

RONALD RICKCORD reviews the Tyndall book, out this month

I hope that those to whom this book is addressed will see in these horizons a vision of grandeur.

JOHN TYNDALL

THE WORDS QUOTED ABOVE, which appear towards the end of John Tyndall's informative and absorbing book, *The Eleventh Hour*, are particularly apposite. All patriotic Britons who read the book will frequently find themselves sharing Mr. Tyndall's "visions of grandeur." It is indeed a remarkable achievement to have written such a readable and inspiring book while serving a prison sentence for publishing the truth about the effects that the politicians' unnatural race-mixing policies are inflicting on the long-suffering British people.

It says much for Mr. Tyndall's resilience that imprisonment neither undermined his determination and dedication to the nationalist cause nor embittered him. Quite undeterred, he writes with wry humour from the drab confines of Wormwood Scrubs about the criminal policy of making Britain into a racial melting pot:-

"This new policy...has become elevated into something akin to a public 'holy writ', with every leading party, almost every leading politician and the whole of the press and broadcasting services bowing deferentially to it — while anyone daring to oppose it publicly can be certain of becoming the target of a campaign of the most vituperative character assassination and public ostracism, if indeed he is lucky enough to keep out of jail!"

The imprisonment of John Tyndall and his colleague, John Morse, in 1986 was an outrageous assault on that freedom of speech to which our politicians pay so much lip service. The 'crime' of the two men was that they published "written matter which was threatening, abusive or insulting in cases where, having regard to all the circumstances, hatred was **likely** to be stirred up against racial groups, namely coloured people, Asians and Jews in Great Britain." (my emphasis). It was not denied in the court that what the men published concerning the activities of various elements among the racial minorities was true; it was only claimed that it was **likely** to cause hatred.

From this, it is quite evident that the law as it stands in Britain today is not concerned with truth, but rather with how publication of the truth might affect public opinion with regard to those about whom the truth has been published. By the same token, it could be argued that publishing the truth about the activities of rapists and child-molesters

THE ELEVENTH HOUR by John Tyndall. 609pp. Hardback £15 (plus £2.20 p&p); Paperback £10 (plus £1.80 p&p). Published by Albion Press. Available from BNP Book Service, PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS.

should be prohibited on the grounds that it might cause public antipathy against such people. The trial of Messrs. Tyndall and Morse proves that when dealing with racial matters the law is indeed an ass.

THREAT TO NATIONAL SURVIVAL

Mr. Tyndall's book shows that he fully understands a fact that our legislators either do not understand or prefer not to notice, namely that immigration, and the explosively high birthrate among the immigrants and immigrant-descended community, pose a mortal threat to the very existence and survival of the British Race. As he so rightly points out:-

"But if a race is destroyed...all is lost — and forever. Then no new leadership, even of the highest genius, no change, no new direction, no investment of money, can ever resurrect it. It disappears from history...That is why the present battle to

defend the British Race is the ultimate battle, and the one in which we must be the victors. For there will be no second chance.

And that is why Mr. Tyndall has entitled his book *The Eleventh Hour*; for the British are perilously approaching the point of no return; their very survival is at stake.

By imprisoning John Tyndall, the authorities provided him with a rare opportunity to write *The Eleventh Hour*. If, however, they imagined for one moment that imprisonment would deter him from discussing racial matters, they were sadly mistaken, as this powerful book proves. However, race accounts for only a small part of the book, which runs to 609 pages, excluding the index. Both in scope and size, *The Eleventh Hour* is a massive achievement by any reckoning. The sheer range of subjects included within its covers provides a daunting task, indeed a near-impossible one, for any reviewer! For this reason, and also on account of limited space, some of the subjects Mr. Tyndall deals with in his book will, of necessity, get only the briefest of mentions in this review.

BACKGROUND AND POLITICAL AWAKENING

Mr. Tyndall begins by giving an interesting account of his background and upbringing, his period of national service in the army, his awakening interest in politics and his conviction (at an early age) that British Nationalism was the only solution to the problems facing the British people.


In the next chapter he considers in some detail the vexed question of whether or not Britain's demise in the world can be attributed to a conspiracy, and whether such a conspiracy, if it exists, is controlled and manipulated by Jews and Zionists. The author asks:-

"If the Jewish conspiracy theory is groundless, if it is rubbish churned out by paranoiacs, cranks and hatemongers and not worthy of a moment's consideration by intelligent and civilised people, then why are certain interests so anxious to ban all open discussion of the subject?"

But he goes on to warn his readers that:-

"...too many conspiracy theorists get their subject out of perspective, regarding the present forces of conspiracy as some kind of diabolical aberration from the historical norm. Could we not view the existence of conspiracy in politics as being as natural as the art of deception in wartime?"

The book then deals with the various political ideologies currently in vogue in Britain, and the disastrous effect that they have had, and are having, on the moral,



THE NEW BOOK
Available from the BNP Book Service this month

Contd. overleaf

A VISION OF GRANDEUR

(Contd. from prev. page)

political, economic and social mores of the British people. The chapter entitled 'The Cancer of Liberalism' is a real gem. In it, Mr. Tyndall accurately portrays the typical liberal who dominates the main political parties:-

"He has been induced to hate his own people and 'love' all others, not only including his people's enemies but **particularly** those enemies. Not only has his own mind been taken away but so have almost all of his instincts, as well as all healthy masculine faculties needed for the maintenance of a vigorous national spirit."

The above description aptly applies to most of the spineless yes-men, sycophants, careerists and self-seekers who currently hold sway in parliament and in our great and powerful institutions.

With devastating accuracy, Mr. Tyndall then castigates the liberalism that has taken root so alarmingly and catastrophically in the political parties and departments of state:-

"Intrinsic in liberals and liberalism is a flabbiness of person and outlook. Liberals simply cannot bear the world of nature that is all around them, the world in which the strong prevail over the weak... Their ideology is one gigantic rationale of the contemptible elements in their own character, the elevation into a creed of moral rectitude of the values of the coward, the sneak, the liar, the crawler and the parasite."

Strong stuff! But Mr. Tyndall is critical of liberals irrespective of the particular branch of the political establishment to which they belong. He accuses the Labour Party of being an "offshoot of liberalism," which he describes as "the spiritual parent of far-leftism and marxism." He is no less critical of the Tories for their pusillanimous response to red intimidation, particularly in the universities and polytechnics; and he goes on to claim that:-

"Conservatism is the last gasp of a world that is dying. It has surrendered every bastion of defence of that world, to the stage at which there are no more left. All that it has succeeded in conserving is putrid and decayed. All that it might usefully have conserved it has sold off. We leave it, floating like a rotting corpse on the stagnant waters of an historical epoch that has come to the end of its time."

WEAKNESSES OF THE FRONT

In the next two chapters Mr. Tyndall continues to chart the development of his political career, a major part of which concerns the important role that he played in the development of the National Front. He provides us with a fascinating and authoritative account of that once-great organisation to which so many of us, including this reviewer, were proud to belong. The demise of the NF was an event which Mr. Tyndall undoubtedly regretted; but he is big enough to

admit that he was not without fault in some of the problems that led to this demise. He dwells at length on the inadequate constitutional arrangements with which the party was burdened and which would lead to its penetration by many subversive elements whose aim was to destroy it from within. Quite evidently, Mr. Tyndall learned much from his period as Chairman of the NF, and this has been of inestimable benefit to the British National Party, which he now leads. He is absolutely determined that the same errors as crippled the Front will not be made again.

Mr. Tyndall next analyses the causes of the so-called 'British sickness'. He rightly places a large part of the blame on the political system and the consequent sham battles between the old-gang parties that have poisoned the body politic. He points out, again quite rightly, that the complete disregard with which our representatives in parliament treat the expressed wishes of the voters on such issues as Immigration, Capital and Corporal Punishment and the Common Market is indicative of how fraudulent our supposedly 'democratic' political system really is.

Mr. Tyndall's proposed solution to this problem is the only section of the book which I do not find entirely convincing. He advocates suffrage on an occupational rather than a geographical basis. In my opinion, constituencies determined on an occupational basis would be so difficult to administer as to be virtually unworkable. Quite possibly, Mr. Tyndall has a solution to this problem in his mind, but, if so, the book does not explain it.

But having made this criticism, I am at pains to stress that, apart from a very few minor differences, this is the only part of the book where I find myself at odds with the author. Considering the range of subjects discussed in the book, and the strong views that I hold on many of those subjects, it is remarkable that this is the limit of my criticism!

ON FREEDOM

One chapter, entitled 'Freedom: the Illusion and the Reality', is very interesting. In it, Mr. Tyndall examines the relationship of the individual towards society and the state, particularly with regard to freedom, about which he maintains much nonsense is spoken and written. He claims that to most people freedom consists chiefly in having a decent job, owning their own homes, being able to send their children to schools of their choice, being able to walk the streets in safety, spending their leisure time as they would wish and in the company they choose, and being free of financial debt. He then goes on to assert:-

"Only a small minority seeks the freedom to take part or have a say in politics, and this is particularly so with the British, who are not by nature a highly politicised people... Political freedom to him (the average Briton) is almost valueless, as he does not have the slightest disposition to use it..."

This assessment is undoubtedly correct. Anyone who takes an intelligent interest in the workings of British society must agree with it, though this will be hotly denied by the 'liberal' politicians who would have everyone crusading against some supposed 'injustice' or other. As Mr. Tyndall succinctly puts it:-

"Am I not right in this diagnosis? Of course, the truth will come uncomfortably to the liberal-democrat, who has in his mind's eye a picture of the mass of people passionately concerned with public issues and using their collective will and wisdom to decide the nation's destiny. But anyone with a grain of understanding of the real workings of politics in Britain knows this liberal idea to be sheer fantasy."

One of the most important subjects that Mr. Tyndall tackles, and certainly the most topical, is the role of the media. He recognises that the media have such enormous influence and power that it is folly leave them in the hands of those who use them to manipulate people's minds and who, as events have so often shown, are largely hostile to Britain. He asserts that:-

"...we must...rescue the mass media from the hands of the liars, seducers and corrupters who now control them — to restore them to the property of the nation to which they rightly belong."

Amen to that.

BRITAIN'S FUTURE

It would be a mistake to imagine that *The Eleventh Hour* is concerned only with highlighting the wrongs that burden Britain today. Far from it! Mr. Tyndall is primarily concerned with the future of this country and of the British Race. In fact the book is nothing less than a blueprint for our complete national and racial resurgence. This is particularly true of the second half, which takes us 'Beyond Capitalism and Socialism' (the title of the chapter devoted to the economy); provides common sense solutions to the Ulster problem, which the politicians seemingly have neither the courage nor the will to resolve; outlines plans for ensuring that Britain is adequately defended; proposes how to mend the fractured relations with our kinsfolk in the former Dominions; explains the author's views on Britain's relations with foreign countries and her place in the world; and last but not least expounds Mr. Tyndall's plans for the role he sees the British National Party playing to ensure that all these aims are one day realised:

"In effect, our purpose is to be a force for everything that is healthy, wholesome and regenerative in British life. Already we are able to record small victories in this regard, and quite soon we will be able to record bigger ones."

MAGNIFICENT ACHIEVEMENT

There is very much more of interest in *The Eleventh Hour* than I can possibly mention here. All in all, the book is a magnificent achievement, particularly when one

Contd. on page 18

PUTTING THE CHURCH ON THE SPOT

RICHARD MOLESWORTH recounts his correspondence with one of Britain's many mixed-up vicars

MANY PEOPLE, whether or not they are churchgoers or practising Christians, may tend to underestimate the significance of the part currently played by the church in destroying our country and assisting the genocide of the British people. This is a serious oversight.

One reason why this issue is so vital is that, if the church had stood out as a beacon of sanity in our troubled times, it would have become a rallying point for those desirous of British survival; and that would have been very valuable to our cause.

But the fact that the leaders of the church have, in the main, adopted the normal 'establishment' positions on the matter of race and immigration has ensured that British survivalists are left well and truly beyond the pale of 'respectable' (*sic*) consideration.

A recent issue of *The Daily Telegraph* carried a letter from a clergyman, and I was prompted to correspond with the writer on the subject he raised.

We exchanged several letters, and in his first he emphasised that he was a staunch Conservative and did not support much of the left-wing bias so prevalent among the church hierarchy; but in keeping with familiar Tory practice he declined to comment on 'sensitive' issues that I raised pertaining to British Nationalism.

I asked him one question in particular, explaining that I had asked numerous people the same question in letters but had never yet had an answer. I asked him if he would care to attempt one.

RIGHT TO SURVIVE

The question was this: Does any ethnic group have a right of group survival, or does it depend on which group you are considering, e.g. whether it is British, or Jewish, or Australian Aboriginal, etc.?

Nationalists will understand the dilemma that this question poses for anyone who wishes to keep in grace with the multi-racial establishment; but on this occasion I was surprised to receive an answer, even though it turned out to be an ambiguous one, and therefore scarcely better than no answer at all.

The clergyman's answer was as follows: "The only possible one is No and Yes. In his sovereign wisdom, God must reserve the right to extinguish any nation or ethnic minority, but that does not give to other human beings the right to exterminate them."

At the end of his letter, my correspondent emphasised that it would have to be his last

one to me on the subject. This may have been due simply to lack of time, but experience has led me to suspect that a major factor was the desire to escape having to clear up the obvious ambiguity contained in his answer to the question quoted.

He will nonetheless receive further letters from me, and it is to be hoped that he will read them. The following is an example of what I am likely to say to him, adopting a conceptually fitting approach to the topic:-

Dear...

In your answer to my question you seemed to be trying to give the impression, without positively committing yourself, that God has willed the extinction of the British Race. The further inference might be that it is therefore sinful to try to avert that disaster, even if it is being undertaken by human agency.

Thanks to the familiar effects of brainwashing, this is certainly the kind of notion the public has become conditioned to accept, and would thus be likely to conclude was your probable meaning.

Was it in fact what you meant? If not, why leave the option open for that predictable meaning to be read into it? Since you **have** left that option open, is it not reasonable to assume that that **was** what you meant?

How, in that case, do you ascertain God's will on such matters? How could one ever do so in any equivalent case? Like Peter Simple, I merely ask this question because I want to know the answer.

There is, as it happens, the argument in the purely Christian concept for holding that the proverbial 'persecution of the Jews' is God's punishment for their rejection and crucifixion of Christ. This may not be a fashionable view to hold today, but at least it can safely be said that there is **no less** reason to assume that the alleged 'holocaust' was God's will than to assume that the extinction of the British Race is God's will.

If it is groundless to assume that God willed the 'holocaust', is it not at least as groundless to assume that God has willed the extinction of the British Race. If you can assume that it is sinful to resist the attack on British survival, the same reasoning would seem to imply that it was sinful to oppose the Nazis and retrospectively condemn them for persecuting Jews. But, illogically, the assumption that it is sinful to resist the attack on British survival is instead supposed to be consistent with the view that it was **right** to oppose the Nazis and condemn their alleged persecution of Jews. If, on the other hand, it was right to oppose the Nazis and condemn their

alleged persecution of Jews how can it not also be right to oppose efforts to destroy the British Nation and Race?

If, as a churchman, you think my reasoning is unsound, and expect British Nationalists to agree with you, it is surely your responsibility to convince them of your point of view in language and logic that they can understand. If you find this impossible, they are surely justified in concluding that your case is not valid.

WHERE WOULD IT END?

If we start assuming that certain disasters are God's will, so that we should do nothing to avert them, where are we going to stop? We could, with at least equal justification, assume that God had ordained that the population of Ethiopia shall be destroyed by famine, so that therefore there should be no attempt to save it. How, precisely, do you differentiate?

If it is alleged that the construction of a new airfield will cause the extinction of an endangered variety of butterfly, are we to say that it is sinful to oppose the airfield because it is God's will that this variety of butterfly should become extinct?

Carried to its logical conclusion, this approach could seem to justify turning a blind eye to all unpleasant practices; and in fact we would become fatalists, pure and simple.

This is not to assert that I think it right to meddle in the internal affairs of other nations. What we are concerned with here is solely to do with the rights and wrongs of influencing developments affecting our own nation and people.

MOTIVATION

Then there is a further consideration. If the motive for conspiring genocide against a people is blatantly unscrupulous, such as to acquire more material or worldly power for oneself or one's group at the expense of others, can we seriously be expected to believe that this is something that it is sinful to oppose?

Lenin stated that the main obstacle to communist conquest of the world was the Anglo-Saxon Race. From that one might conclude that communists have a vested interest in destroying the Anglo-Saxon Race. I will here recall to you that Marx described religion as the opiate of the people, and that it is a communist objective to destroy all religion.

On that basis, how can it possibly be sinful to oppose an act of genocide which is aimed at promoting communism? Your answer would be greatly appreciated.

It seems a lot more sensible to say that

Contd. on page 20

WHO IS CONTROLLING 'PUBLIC OPINION'?

In the fourth article in a series, NORMAN WHITE examines the so-called 'free press'

"THEY ARE engines of propaganda for the constantly changing policies, desires, personal wishes, personal likes and dislikes of two men. What are their methods? Their methods are direct falsehood, misrepresentation, half-truths, the alteration of the speaker's meaning by putting sentences apart from the context, suppression, and editorial criticism, of speeches which are not reported in the paper. What the proprietorship of these papers is aiming at is power, but power without responsibility — the prerogative of the harlot throughout the ages."

So said Stanley Baldwin in an attack on the press barons Beaverbrook and Rothermere, using a closing phrase supplied by his cousin Rudyard Kipling. Interchanging "seven companies" for "two men," these words are just as appropriate today as when they were first spoken some 50 years ago. The former Viscount Stansgate, in current incarnation as tribune of the people, Tony Benn, decared in his book *Arguments for Democracy*: "Seven multi-national companies or wealthy families own all the mass-circulation newspapers in Britain. Generally speaking, they use their papers to campaign single-mindedly in defence of their commercial interests and the political parties which protect them."

In this review of the Press, the oldest of the media to be examined in this series of articles, it should be borne in mind that, although the methods of propaganda Baldwin described remain the same, the Press, in common with Radio and Television, have today become overweening, arrogant and a law unto themselves, exercising a "destructive and often malign influence," elected by and accountable to none. But, as was pointed out in the second article of this series, the presence of such supreme *hubris* can be traced to that "illegitimate money power drawing its main strength from usury," in Ivor Benson's words, which has captured and controls the media for realising its goal of a planned one-world order.

ORIGINS OF THE PRESS

What then is the Press and who operates it?

The Press originated in the late 15th century with printed accounts of single-news events, the term itself being derived from Gutenberg's and Caxton's printing press for 'moving letters'. The term 'the Press' later became used to denote the totality of daily and periodical publishing, particularly news-

papers. The latter were not always so called, starting life in the 16th century as 'relations', which related the account of an event some time afterwards, and 'advertisers', in the original sense of the word "to take note, to notify," which provided political and social information. In the 17th century 'corantos' appeared weekly, containing different items of news from further afield, and 'diurnalls', which drew largely on the affairs of parliament. This century also saw the development of the 'mercury' and the 'intelligencer', which were more like news-books, with title page and imprint, the subject matter being in sections, the latter being something of an official publication introducing a wider range of information from home and abroad. During the time of the Civil War 'mercuries' were prolific in output, appearing every day of the week, including Sundays, thus anticipating by 140 years the first regular Sunday paper. It was during this period and until the Restoration that publications and pamphlets abounded in the streets and alleys of London. This war of words has been described as the forerunner of popular journalism — hence perhaps the sobriquet 'gutter press'?

The first regular daily paper was established in London in 1702 by Samuel Buckley "to give news, give it daily and impartially" — the cry of press proprietor — hawker and huckster that he is — down the ages. By mid-century there were five dailies, six thrice-weeklies and five weeklies, and in 1785 John Walter founded the *Daily Universal Register*, which became *The Times* three years later. This was the first newspaper with a lineage traceable to the present day.

START OF PROPAGANDA

From the beginning, ownership of the Press was mainly in the hands of the printers and printer/writers, although with the emergence of political propagandists during the Civil War plagiarism and counterfeiting by unlicensed factional hacks took place.

Around 1730, newspapers passed into the hands of leading booksellers, who combined together as much to spread the costs associated with increasing circulation as to advertise their stock and to afford some protection against pirating, though many papers were still owned by printers and groups of political writers. As the 18th century grew to a close, however, newspapers came to be seen as more valuable properties with the growth of radical thought

and the emergence of the common people as a revolutionary force abroad and a threat to the established order at home. Men with an eye to profit, as well as those seeking to manipulate rising mass opinion, began to lay claim to established papers and to found their own.

In the 19th century a group of cotton manufacturers started the *Manchester Guardian*; William Cobbett's *Political Register* exploited the discontent of working men; James Perry developed the mercantile paper, the *Morning Chronicle*, highly regarded for its political reporting; and *The Times* flourished under John Walter II as "the greatest engine of temporary opinion in the world...the mouthpiece, oracle and echo of the Stock Exchange, the origin of the mercantile interest" (William Hazlitt). Charles Dickens, author and journalist and early practitioner of the liberal mind, was impelled to start up a newspaper based on the principles of progress and improvement, in partnership with the builder of the Crystal Palace, Joseph Paxton. This, the *Daily News*, narrowly survived early extinction to become the *News Chronicle*, which was eventually closed down in 1960.

BIG BUSINESS

Newspapers were becoming big business by the end of the 19th century, and improvements in reporting and production methods called for large outlays of capital. Papers were no longer merely offshoots of the printing industry but had become a thriving independent industry in their own right, albeit closely involved with the advertising industry — which itself was largely dependent on the Press before Cinema, Radio and Television, and which in turn provided the papers with much needed revenue and capital for new ventures. Already therefore, as might be expected, the presence of the Chosen Race was perceptible — something which, like Topsy, has just 'grow'd' ever since. Joseph Moses Levy, proprietor of the *Sunday Times* in the 1850s, was chief creditor of the ailing *Daily Telegraph*, which he took over. His son Edward, who for some reason added the surname of a rich uncle, Lawson, to his own, put the paper on its feet, increasing its circulation by the use of various stunts and techniques imported from America. In that country, another Jewish emigre, Joseph Pulitzer, took up journalism after fighting for the Union side in the American Civil War, and, in a short time, he had merged two St.

Contd. on next page


Louis newspapers before moving to New York five years later, where he took over *The World*, the first paper to run a regular comic strip; and he raised from his readers the money to pay for the building of the Statue of Liberty, these readers being attracted by his use of sensational banner headlines. It was America which spawned the tabloid, this first coming into prominence after World War I, employing sensationalism and shocking reports written in a simple language so that the burgeoning immigrant population could understand it.

The predecessors of the cheap, mass-circulation, mass-produced newspapers were Kennedy Jones and Alfred Harmsworth (later Lord Northcliffe), with their halfpenny *Daily Mail*. The Elementary Education Act of 1870 guaranteed a new generation of readers, which created the conditions for a mass market in newspapers; and George Newnes with *Tit-Bits* and C. Arthur Pearson with *Pearson's Weekly* and later the *Daily Express* were forerunners of such newspapers. The reduction in numbers of papers with large groups of readership was a feature before World War I. Northcliffe, George Cadbury and Pearson between them accounted then for one third of all morning papers and four fifths of all evening paper circulation. Alfred Harmsworth and his brother Harold (later Lord Rothermere) shared a million-pound publishing firm by 1895. Northcliffe started the process of buying and selling newspaper companies, leading to the growth of the conglomerates we have seen in the 20th century. Northcliffe was also the first in that long line of press barons which includes Beaverbrook (William Aitken), Camrose (William Berry), Southwood (Julius Elias), Thomson of Fleet (Roy Thomson), Rothermere (Vere Harmsworth) and, latterly, Matthews of Highbury (Victor Matthews).

INTERNATIONAL

The ownership and control of this medium, with its enormous power to create fashions of thought, to shape opinions and indeed to mould society, which once lay in the hands of wealthy and sometimes politically motivated individuals, has now passed on to immense transnational corporations, whose primary interests lie outside the business of publishing newspapers.

In the Press arena today there are 127 daily and 23 Sunday newspapers, of which 43 have national circulations, plus a staggering total of 1,596 local and county papers — all of which adds up to an immense power for shaping — and perverting — public opinion. This can be seen as especially so when it is realised that control of 97 per-cent of the daily, and 100 per-cent of the Sunday, markets is concentrated in the grip of just seven men and, in the main, their transnational companies. These are: Rupert Murdoch, David Stevens, Vere Harmsworth, Conrad Black, Weetman Pearson and Roland (Tiny) Rowland.



MURDOCH
Lowers the standards of everything he touches

The newspaper with the largest circulation in this country today is the salacious *News of the World* (4,941,966). Its editor, so the *Jewish Chronicle* proudly relates, is the Jewess Wendy Hendry. The largest circulation enjoyed by any daily is that of the crude, moronic *Sun* (3,993,031). Both of these papers are owned by the mamzer Jew Rupert Murdoch, through News Group Newspapers Ltd., the British subsidiary of News International PLC, which in turn is a subsidiary of Murdoch's Australian-based conglomerate News Corporation Ltd.. This latter firm owns dozens of papers in three continents, and has such diverse interests as Book Publishing, Television, Records and Cassettes, Films (20th Century Fox), Airlines and Oil. Murdoch, described by a contemporary leading journalist as ruthless, impulsive, unprincipled and, above all, cunning, is one of the main advocates of the one-world order, as Don McMahon demonstrated in his article in *The Spotlight*, which this journal reproduced abridged in two parts in 1986. McMahon also took up the interesting question of where Murdoch managed to raise the money for the enormous purchases that gave him control of this empire, and found that his principal backers were the South African Jew Harry Oppenheimer and the Canadian Jew Edgar Bronfman. Murdoch has also received substantial support from Rothschild interests.

Murdoch's media enterprises further his political goal of 'one world' as well as his commercial ambitions. In addition to *The Sun* and the *News of the World* (of which the least said the better), Murdoch owns *The Times*, *The Sunday Times* and the *Times Literary and Educational Supplements*, of which he took control in 1981 following their close-down in 1979 and the subsequent turmoil between management and unions which has lasted until only quite recently.

Murdoch enlarged his holdings in the British media last year when acquiring from Lonrho PLC Eddie Shah's former paper *Today*, held to be Newspaper of the Year by Bernstein's Granada TV.

The Times was owned by three generations of the original founding Walter family before being taken over by Northcliffe in 1908, when it was in danger of going bankrupt. From the early 1920s, the Astor family were proprietors until Roy Thomson took control in 1966. 'The Thunderer', as the paper was nicknamed in the 19th century, is nowadays not so much the voice of the British Establishment, as it was seen as being for a long time, as one of the many mouthpieces of that illegitimate global money power (which today controls the British Establishment anyway), and the paper has become just another entry in the books of a giant transnational conglomerate.

Of Murdoch, it has been said that "a man prepared to change his nationality to secure control of an American TV network means business — at almost any price." Now that Murdoch is set fair to muscle in on British TV through leasing four channels of the Luxembourg-based satellite Astra early next year, we can be sure of one thing: the standards of television in this country will decline yet further, Rees-Mogg or no Rees-Mogg.

ENTER MR. HOCH

Another press proprietor from overseas is Jan Ludwig Hoch, known as 'Lajbi' to family and friends, but better known as Robert Maxwell. He was born into an orthodox Jewish family in Sub-Carpathian Russia (now Czechoslovakia) 65 years ago and came to Britain after World War II, in which he served with the Czech Legion. Within a year, he had bought his first holding in a trading company, which of course prospered in austerity-ridden Europe. He then bought the rights to valuable Russian scientific books and documents hitherto unpublished in the West, using his connections with the occupying forces in Berlin. These no doubt helped him to acquire the old-established Pergamon Press, which he bought in 1949 off the ground for £13,000.

Maxwell, publisher and international financier, one-time Labour MP, and said to be one of the richest men in the country who claims to have lost hundreds of relatives in German concentration camps, took over Mirror Group Newspapers Ltd. in 1984 from Reed International for £113.4 million. Maxwell's fortunes were in decline in the 1970s, eliciting this comment from the Department of Trade: "Not a person who can be relied upon to exercise proper stewardship of a publicly quoted company" — this following an unsuccessful attempt to merge Pergamon with Saul Steinberg's American computer firm Leasco.

Mirror Holdings Ltd., subsidiary of the transnational Pergamon Holding Foundation, is based in Lichtenstein (tax-haven of inter-

Contd. overleaf

WHO IS CONTROLLING 'PUBLIC OPINION'?

(Contd. from prev. page)

national capital) and reputed to be in the ownership of the family of Maxwell's French wife Elizabeth. It includes *The Daily Mirror*, whose editor Eve Pollard is Jewish, *The Sunday Mirror* and *Sunday People*, the Scottish *Daily Record* and *Sunday Mail*, the *Sporting Life*, the Mid-Devon Newspaper Company and Orbit Publications. The *Mirror* newspapers have long supported the Labour Party, promoting internationalism, multi-racialism and the 'Third World'; but despite this Maxwell claims that "they must and will have a Britain First policy."

Maxwell's clumsy attempt to barge his way into the London evening newspaper market failed, but his negotiation with the Russians to realise another English version of *Pravda*



MAXWELL

His papers promote internationalism and multi-racialism

in this country may be more successful (perhaps as supplement to the *Daily Mirror*?). His recent injunctions against a number of biographers are a measure of the man's inordinate vanity and authoritarian personality, as well as highlighting what one of his colleagues once said of him: "You really need to go to the meteorological office to find a description for him."

DOWNMARKET

When Lord Beaverbrook's son, Sir Max Aitken, sold off Express Newspapers to Nigel Brookes' building, property and shipping conglomerate, Trafalgar House, in 1977, the successful formula of lively, urbane, well written popular journalism, combined with a strong championing of Great Britain and the Empire, that Beaverbrook brought to the papers was erased. Victor Matthews (since ennobled), former office boy, housebuilder, chartered surveyor and then deputy chairman and managing director of Trafalgar House,

had about as much knowledge of journalism and the newspaper industry as Lord Thomson at the IBA had of broadcasting. But that, as we have already seen, is a trademark of media controllers — the main business of providing copy for propaganda and mind bending being vested in the hacks and scribblers who do the reporting, writing, editing and producing and who are, as a former *New York Times* editor once observed, "marionettes...intellectual prostitutes."

Matthews immediately took the Express papers downmarket, started the ribald *Daily Star* at the base end of the market in 1978, then hived off the papers from the parent company to form Fleet Street Holdings, which was sold in 1985 to United Newspapers PLC, headed by the latest in the long line of press barons, David Stevens (now Lord Stevens of Ludgate), whose career in banking (Hill Samuel, Drayton, City and Foreign) befits him for the post of chairman of United Newspapers. This giant transnational company with large American interests is the owner of the third largest chain of provincial newspapers, including the *Yorkshire Post*, the *Sheffield Star*, the *South Wales Argus* and also *Dalton's Weekly* and a chain of retail newsagents. If you live in London, the Advertiser Group is owned by United Newspapers, as are the recently published glossy free magazines *Southside* and *Westside*, shortly to be followed by *Northside*.

UNDILUTED TORY MESSAGE

The third Lord Rothermere, Vere Harmsworth, Chairman of Associated Newspapers Holdings PLC and great nephew of the founder of the Rothermere empire Lord Northcliffe, owns the *Daily Mail*, the *Mail on Sunday*, the *London Standard* (jointly with United Newspapers) and a chain of over 30 provincial papers, including 14 dailies. Take a local paper in Cornwall or Devon, Cheltenham or Gloucester, Hull or Grimsby, Derby or Swansea, Leicester or Lincoln and you can be sure you are being fed the undiluted Tory propaganda which informs the *Mail* papers, whose occasional jingoism has nothing to do with true patriotism — since the Conservative Party is firmly committed to the sacrifice of this nation's racial, industrial and political sovereignty on the altar of international finance.

Associated Newspapers is yet another transnational corporation, with diversified interests in North Sea oil, Canadian pulp, furniture, transport, property, Australian television and local commercial radio, besides newspapers and magazines. Vere Harmsworth, third generation press baron, took over from his father in 1971 and relaunched the *Daily Mail* as a tabloid, adopting some of the characteristics of the tabloids when adjudged guilty of gross misconduct over chequebook journalism in the 'Yorkshire Ripper' case in 1981, and in making false charges against Lord Ryder in

respect of British Leyland — based on a forged letter attributed to him and printed on the front page in 1977. It has been remarked that the *Mail* is distinguished not so much by a dominant proprietor as by a dominant editor; and Sir David English, handpicked by Harmsworth, has achieved considerable acclaim and recognition in the industry.

JUNK JOURNALISM

The so-called 'popular' papers are no longer practitioners of popular journalism, as was once the case, but of junk journalism — with the exception of the *Mail* stable. These papers dispense not so much news as a regular diet of sex scandals, crime stories, the antics of popular 'celebrities' and errant public figures, pin-ups and, where news is provided, undiluted sensationalism.

The left is forever whining about the built-in political bias of the Press against it, especially at middle- and downmarket levels, which Tom Bairstow, among others, argues is the cause of so many Tory governments. Of course, there have been a number of attempts to establish papers of the left, but they have all foundered because it takes a hefty amount of hard cash to run a newspaper these days. Papers of the left, such as the communist daily *Morning Star* are funded by donations from party members, the unions and no doubt the Narodny Bank. The irrefutable fact is that the Press — whether Tory, Labour, or 'liberal' — speaks with one voice on the really vital issues affecting the British people. Does the Press oppose or permit opposite views to be expressed about the multi-racial society? Does the Press oppose or permit opposite views to be expressed about the Single Market Act, which carries with it the eventual destruction of British sovereignty and, ultimately, nationhood? Does the Press oppose or permit opposite views to be expressed about the combined east-west onslaught against the Whites in South Africa? The answers are a resounding negative, because the Press, like every other medium, voices the will of the illegitimate money power which is out to extinguish Britain and all other highly developed white countries as sovereign nations.

The *Daily Telegraph* and *Sunday Telegraph*, staunchly middle class, conservative and anti-socialist, were owned until recently by the last of the old-fashioned press barons, Lord Hartwell. His father, Lord Camrose, the son of a Merthyr Tydfil estate agent, bought the *Daily Telegraph* in 1928. It remained in the hands of the Berry family until two years ago, when financial control passed to the Canadian multi-millionaire Conrad Black, although Hartwell continues as chairman of the board and editor-in-chief of the two newspapers.

Black's company, Hollinger Argus Incorporated, one of Canada's largest business empires, is a specialist investment company with substantial holdings in Canadian companies. A profile in the *Toronto Star*

Contd. on next page

referred to it as "a gentleman's club. A network of partners and pals. A fraternity of middle-aged financiers who work, play and get rich together...It's all the stranger considering that none...is in the same league as other billionaires...such as the Bronfmans, Reichmanns, Galen Weston and Kenneth Thompson." As Ivor Benson observes in his invaluable monthly newsletter *Behind the News*: "If this means nothing else, it means that the 'fraternity' over which Conrad Black presides is a relatively autonomous constellation of financial power capable of offering some resistance to a process of power centralisation operating on a much bigger international scale...a philosophy of big business which draws a clear distinction between those who belong and those who don't, between 'us' and 'them', a 'fraternity' or club that insists on preserving its own style." Of all the newspapers today, the *Daily* and *Sunday Telegraph* approach as close as they dare to voicing apprehension about what they see as a threat to our centuries-old civilisation.

FLAGSHIP OF INTERNATIONAL ORDER

The *Financial Times*, which has been rightly described as the flagship of the New International Economic Order, is part of the Pearson Longman publishing and banking empire, and has the smallest circulation among the leading dailies but the highest advertising receipts. Aimed at, or rather published for, that tightly knit and highly influential internationalist fraternity of financiers, it has conspicuously never taken a lead in exposing the seamy side of capitalist machinations. Pearson PLC, the transnational conglomerate owned by the Pearson family, is presided over by Weetman John Churchill Pearson (Viscount Cowdray), formerly chairman of S. Pearson & Son for 23 years, with his half-nephew Michael John Hare (Viscount Blakenham), chairman and chief executive and chairman of the *Financial Times*. Another half-nephew of Cowdray's, the Duke of Atholl, owns the Westminster Press, which provides Pearson PLC with a chain of local papers throughout the country besides half ownership of the *Economist* magazine, Lazards Bank and various companies in North America and Europe.

The distinguished financial journalist C. Gordon Tether, whose prestigious daily column appeared in the paper for more than 20 years, was summarily dismissed in 1975 simply because he was delving into the 'behind the scenes' forces whose instructions are conveyed at the annual Bilderberger meetings of financiers, industrialists, academics and selected journalists which are held in conditions of absolute secrecy and security. The article Tether wrote on the 1974 Bilderberger gathering was based on a Montreal Stock Exchange firm's newsletter which had disclosed it, and from which Tether quoted, referring to the hostility encountered in probing into the human factor

involved in economics and market behaviour, "particularly with the **domination of the news media by the larger interests** who prefer to develop their human activities behind the anonymity of seclusion" (*my emphasis*). Other journalists on the paper, John Wyles (foreign news editor), John Lloyd (industrial editor) and Jeff Randall (feature editor), are however free to contribute to the British Communist Party journal *Marxism Today*, while the paper's Moscow correspondent is Patrick Cockburn, son of the communist journalist Claude Cockburn, who is fulsome with praise for Gorbachev's Soviet slave empire.

SHROUDED IN SECRECY

Roland 'Tiny' Rowland was born to a German father named Fuhrop and a British mother in India in 1917, changed his name when he came to Britain shortly before World War II, enlisted in the Medical Corps from which he was discharged in 1942, and at some time emigrated to Southern Rhodesia, where he quickly accumulated enough money to buy two farms. It is said of him that he never discusses his management methods and shrouds most of his operations in secrecy, but the success story of his transnational mega-company, Lonrho PLC, which grew out of a faltering, small-time business, London & Rhodesian Mining and Land Company Ltd., inclines one to believe that he is in possession of some brevet or other which has opened doors. It cannot simply be ascribed, as is suggested, to Rowland's capacity for hard work, a single-mindedness of purpose, ruthlessness in business dealings or the cultivation of close friendships with the likes of Kaunda, Nyerere and Nkomo.

As Rowland's company grew, it acquired mining concessions, trading rights, newspapers and even a railway in Malawi, Zambia, Kenya, Tanzania and Uganda, with platinum mines and printing works in South Africa. Lonrho PLC, as it is now called, comprises over 800 companies in over 80 countries involved in a vast range of enterprises from ranching to casinos. In 1981 Rowland gained control of the old-established *Sunday Observer*, which is only six years younger than *The Times*, from Robert O. Anderson of the Californian oil company Atlantic Richfield, who had acquired the paper six years earlier from the Astor family. The *Observer*, doughty champion of liberal causes and those of every black upstart on the African continent, was campaigning against Rowland's aggressive bid for Harrods when he took over the paper — which caused much fluttering in the liberal dovescotes and a slanging match with editor Donald Treford. The Monopolies Commission was called in to arbitrate, and it insisted on independent directors being appointed "to safeguard the editor's freedom." All of which goes to show how hysterically outraged the liberal mind becomes when the merest curb to its licence is scented, and how unfathomable are Rowland's motives for entry into the British

newspaper market, given the handicap imposed by the Monopolies Commission... unless 'larger interests' decreed.

EVERY LIBERAL CAUSE

The *Guardian*, another paper with long-standing left-liberal traditions, is not, unlike all the other leading nationals, owned by a transnational or multi-millionaire; it is owned by a Trust of the Scott family, set up on the death of C.P. Scott, its editor for 57 years and eventual principal proprietor and one-time left-leaning Liberal MP in that party's heyday. Eight trustees now run the paper, appoint the editors and managing directors of the *Guardian* and its family relation, the *Manchester Evening News* — with a directive to the *Guardian* editor "to carry on the paper in the same spirit as before," i.e. supporting every 'liberal' cause under the sun: women's lib, race-mixing, positive discrimination for Asians and Afro-Caribbeans, deference in all things to the 'Third World', social engineering, egalitarianism and the providing of platforms for the Anti-Apartheid Movement and the ANC.

The autumn of 1986 witnessed a rare occurrence in newspaper history: the launch of a new national daily paper with a question-begging name, *The Independent*. According to its editor and chief executive, Andreas Whittam-Smith, "ownership...is spread between more than 30 financial institutions and its own employees. No investor holds more than a 10 per-cent stake...the maximum permitted...our investors are politically neutral...we are free to make up our own mind on policy issues." Now apart from the fact that the editorial policy of any newspaper is that of the owner (Royal Commission on the Press), ownership of a newspaper carries with it enormous political power to shape public opinion throughout a society, a power totally disproportionate to the consummate ease with which owners come to exercise it — with neither checks nor balances nor elections, and answerable to no-one — simply by the power of the purse.

Some of those 'politically neutral' investors in the *Independent* are: Newmarket Venture Capital, TR Technology Investment Trust, Witan Investment, Murray Ventures, Charterhouse Development, Framlington Capital Trust, Target Unit Trust, Standard Life, Legal & General, Foreign & Colonial Investment Trust, the merchant banks Charterhouse and Morgan Grenfell, and the pension funds of British Gas, the Water Authorities and the Post Office. The company behind the paper, Newspaper Publishing PLC, has as its non-executive chairman Lord Marcus Sieff, chairman of the First International Bank of Israel Financial Trust, director of N.M. Rothschild & Sons and president of Marks & Spencer. Non-executive directors are: George Duncan, formerly of Lloyds Bowmaker and now chairman of Allied Steel & Wire; Bruce Fireman, of Fireman Rose; and Ian Davison,

Contd. overleaf

WELL, IF IT ISN'T...!

An old acquaintance turns up again in Lincolnshire



RAY HILL

Alleged to have spread smears in order to get foothold in business

WHO IS CONTROLLING 'PUBLIC OPINION'?

(Contd. from prev. page)

formerly of Lloyd's of London and now adviser to management and financial consultants Arthur Andersen & Co. Financial advisers are the firm of Stephen Rose & Partners.

POLITICALLY MOTIVATED

As Ivor Benson comments in *Behind the News*: "It is more reasonable to suppose that what we are seeing...is an **abrogation of all the ordinary principles of competitive enterprise**; for the more than 30 financial companies are going to have to continue to subsidise the new undertaking with uneconomic advertising until such time that the paper acquires a large readership and becomes economically competitive. What that means is that those who control the more than 30 finance houses are primarily motivated by **political** considerations, their object being to establish a bridgehead in the newspaper industry and to secure for themselves a share of the power to influence an important sector of 'up-market' public opinion."

Reed International PLC, a vast transnational conglomerate presided over by R.G. Begel in the USA, with interests including wallpaper and packaging, paint and DIY, the paper trade and exhibitions, is the biggest publishing group in the world, owning upward of 230 titles. It inherited the Mirror Group in 1970, it took over Cecil King's International Publishing Corporation, but

A BUSINESSMAN in Mablethorpe, Lincolnshire, Mr. George Lewis, was cleared in March at Lincoln Crown Court of five charges of indecent assault against young boys.

The jury returned not-guilty verdicts on all the charges against Mr. Lewis, who claimed he had been the object of a vendetta by the family of two of his alleged victims.

Mr. Lewis had pleaded not guilty to five charges of assaulting young males between 1982 and 1987.

He told the jury that he had never indecently touched any of the four boys who made complaints against him, and he suggested that witnesses against him had conspired to try to get him convicted.

Mr. Lewis, who runs a packaging business and owns property in Mablethorpe, claimed that the vendetta was led by the father of two of the main prosecution witnesses.

He claimed that the man knew he had been deported from Zimbabwe for sex offences

when the *Daily Mirror* started to falter under Hugh Cudlipp, Reed disposed of the Group, after some chicanery, to Maxwell, as we have seen. Reed, however, still has considerable clout in the media with local papers, owning Benham Newspapers, Northern Counties Newspapers and Berrow's Organisation, magazines, book publishing and commercial radio.

Kenneth Thomson, second Lord Thomson of Fleet, had enough of paying the Fleet Street unions' 'Danegeld', sold off the *Times* newspapers in 1980 and moved the headquarters of his International Thomson Organisation PLC to Toronto. This transnational, with business interests in North Sea oil and gas, package tours, book publishing, *Yellow Pages*, North American newspapers, Canadian department stores, etc., is nevertheless the largest publisher of local and regional newspapers in the country and also controls the daily national papers in Northern Ireland (*Belfast Telegraph*), Scotland (*The Scotsman*) and Wales (*Western Mail*).

The expression 'freedom of the Press', as a renowned authority has observed, is nothing but "a euphemism under which...papers escape legal punishment for deceiving the public and poisoning the public mind." It was also the same person's opinion that journalists — those 'cuttle-fishes' of the media who shamelessly vilify, defame, hound, harass, lie, concoct, mislead and distort — "fabricate more than two thirds of what is called public opinion, from the foam of which the parliamentary Aphrodite eventually rises"...at the behest of the illegitimate money power which controls the Press.

and had later been jailed in this country for indecent assault and used this to blackmail him.

Mr. Lewis said that the man had demanded to be taken in as a partner in his business, and when this was refused he started telling people in the town about the convictions in order to blacken his name.

Mr. Lewis, who is Jewish, also claimed that the man trying to blacken his name was himself 'anti-Jewish' and was upset after seeing him with his brother, who looked like a rabbi.

This little story from out in the sticks would be of no interest to our readers but for one thing.

The man claimed by Lewis to have used threats to get a foothold in his business, and then to have gone around town blackening his name when the attempt to get into the business failed, is an old acquaintance of ours: he is none other than **Ray Hill**, who for a while was a member of the British National Party until his departure in 1983, shortly after which he exposed himself as a 'mole' working for *Searchlight* magazine. Later Mr. Hill did well for himself writing a book called *The Other Face of Terror*, in which he purported to 'expose' all the awful goings on in the BNP and the other nationalist organisations he had infiltrated. We cannot comment on the stories told by Hill in this book concerning other groups, because we are not in a position to confirm or refute them; but four fifths of what he said about the BNP and his dealings with our editor was pure fiction.


Hill's book got prominent reviews, was well promoted by the media and must have made him a good bit of money. In it, of course, he claimed that his 'conversion' from a 'racist' into an 'anti-racist' was motivated by the loftiest principles and had nothing to do with any mercenary considerations.

Hill now writes a regular column in *Searchlight* in which he engages in snide tittle tattle against his one-time political colleagues.

We cannot of course know how true Mr. Lewis's story about his dealings with Hill actually is. His past record suggests that he is a pretty nasty specimen, and it is therefore entirely possible that he made the whole thing up in order to clear himself.

But the fact remains that the story was convincing enough for the jury to acquit him. It should also be borne in mind that Mr. Hill appeared in the case as one of the prosecution witnesses. The jury members were able to form their impressions of both Mr. Hill and Mr. Lewis in the witness box, and they evidently decided that Mr. Lewis told the more credible story — and this despite their

Contd. on page 20



SIR: Contributions to the BNP Headquarters Building Fund continue to be less than is needed.

Are we nationalists under the illusion that we can have a white revolution on the cheap? Do British Nationalists realise that our organised enemies are far more serious about fund-raising than we are?

For example, the main communist organisations in this country require their members to pay **monthly** dues — some as much in a month as the British National Party requires in a year! And that is only the start of their financial duties.

Earning average or below-average incomes, Irish Republicans in Ulster, and even on the mainland, continually fork out the cash the IRA needs to purchase the weapons to keep on murdering and maiming our people. So do ordinary-income Irish Americans who support Noraid.

And Zionist fund raising for Jewish causes is legendary. Zionists in Britain, of **all income levels**, positively **pour** money into support groups for Israel. Their feeling of racial solidarity is so intense that one gets the impression that they would be ashamed to contribute only a nominal amount.

The total amount for a year's BNP membership and an annual subscription to *Spearhead* is currently £14. If a British Nationalist told a Zionist he only paid £14 a year towards the survival of his race, the Zionist would say: "You can't be serious!" (in both meanings of that term).

Nationalism is not a hobby, nor merely a personal safety-valve in our multi-racial society; it is a serious, determined effort to return Britain to the control of its own people and to ensure racial survival. If the *Spearhead* reader feels that he or she really is a true British Nationalist, then let's show the Irish Republicans, Communists and Zionists what a really great cause inspires! Let's demonstrate what **British** financial firepower can do!

NEIL FARNELL
Croydon, Surrey

EDITOR'S NOTE: Some may be aware that the British National Party annual member's subscription has been raised from £6 to £12, the increase to take effect from 1989. One or two have complained

but in the light of Mr. Farnell's letter it will perhaps be seen that they should not do.

Since publication of *HQ Fund progress* in our last issue, a number of good donations were received which somewhat improves the Fund's position; but Mr. Farnell's assertion that the general effort is not good enough nevertheless still holds good.

SIR: We are always hearing a great deal about the ambitions for 'world conquest' alleged to be held by such people as Caesar, Napoleon and Hitler. But I would suggest that the kind of people who would like to be 'world-rulers' are still very much alive today.

I believe that the present leaders of the USA and USSR show all signs of wanting to achieve world-domination — though not necessarily by means of military conquest; rather do they want to set up a world government by stealth, through the gradual erosion of national sovereignty on the part of the world's nations, including our own.

It is most interesting today how 'capitalists' and 'communists' manage to come together — look at Reagan and Gorbachev in Moscow recently! In Britain, the main political parties of the 'establishment', though they may appear to hold differing ideas about 'capitalism' and 'socialism', all have a common desire to see national sovereignty destroyed and a one-world system instituted.

In my opinion, capitalism and communism, far from being in conflict, are complementary to one another.

R.F. BROWN
Leicester

SIR: A friend just back from a stay in Europe has remarked on the following racial phenomenon:-

It is that of many of his own countrymen's aversion and suspicion of other white Europeans as 'Frogs', 'Jerries', etc. And yet

so many of the same people will willingly accept, here in our own country, Blacks and Asians — just because they were 'born here' and speak English of a sort.

Moreover, your 'coloured' is often unquestioningly, and even enthusiastically, accepted as a member of 'British' or 'English' sports teams, with people cheering them on to beat foreign Whites!

Are so many of us British now really so far gone, and lacking in basic racial instincts, as to believe that those whose ethnic homelands are in Africa, Asia and the West Indies are less 'foreign' than our continental neighbours who share with us a common culture?

C. FARRER
Peterborough, Cambs.

SIR: Until recently, while mainly supporting the BNP, I have had some sympathy towards the National Front. But now I regard the NF as being as much a threat to nationalism in this country as any of our more obvious enemies are. The sooner both factions of the NF are dissolved the brighter the future will look for our movement — and ultimately our country.

I. LOUGHRAN
Darlington, Co. Durham

SIR: In your article about the rise of Le Pen in France you indicate your belief that the French and British people are not all that different in their attitudes and that the former have been brainwashed by anti-nationalist propaganda just as ours have. Maybe, but on a recent holiday in France I noticed one respect in which the French are different.

On the roads, the great majority of motor cars are of French manufacture, while here the majority of cars are still imported. This suggests that the French are more prepared to support their home industries than we are and therefore perhaps, by definition, more nationalistic in their thinking.

K.D. BOSWELL
Redhill, Surrey

How to obtain SPEARHEAD

Spearhead is available from our office to those who wish to ensure to obtain copies for themselves every month and to those who wish to obtain quantities for redistribution. Those wishing for copies for themselves each month are recommended to take out a subscription by filling in the form below and sending it to us with a cheque or postal order for the applicable sum.

NAME.....

ADDRESS.....

The following rates are for 12 issues (please tick in box where applicable):-

British Isles: £8.00 ☐ Overseas unsealed surface mail: £9.50 ☐ Overseas sealed surface mail: £10.85 ☐ Unsealed air mail Middle East: £11.80 ☐ Unsealed air mail Canada, USA, Latin America, Africa: £13.40 ☐ Unsealed air mail Australia, New Zealand, Far East: £14.30 ☐

Please note: These overseas rates apply if remittance is in Pounds Sterling; if remittance is in overseas currency, an additional charge of £5.50 is required in the case of cheques and money orders and £1 in the case of banknotes, these going to pay banker's commission and charges. Discounts for bulk-purchases can be obtained as follows:-

10-19 copies: 40p each; 20-49 copies: 36p each; 50-99 copies: 30p each; 100-199 copies: 26p each; 200-499 copies: 22p each; 500 copies or over: 20p each. (For advice on postal rates, please contact our office).

All cheques and postal orders should be made out to *Spearhead* and sent to: PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS. Please do not include a payment for *Spearhead* as part of a cheque including payment for any other item.

BOOKS! BOOKS! BOOKS!

BNP Book Service
PO Box 446
London SE23 2LS

THE ELEVENTH HOUR (John Tyndall) £15 hardback; £10 paperback. Mostly written by the BNP leader in prison, this is the book all nationalists have been waiting for. The most comprehensive presentation yet to appear of the case for British Nationalism. 1988, 609pp.

THE NEW UNHAPPY LORDS (A.K. Chesterton) £3.00. An exposure of the financial power that seeks to dragoon mankind into a global police state. 1972, 255pp.

THE CAMP OF THE SAINTS (Jean Raspail) £2.40. A sensational novel about the destruction of the white world by Asian immigrant invasion. 1973, 311pp.

THE BIOLOGY OF THE RACE PROBLEM (Prof. W.C. George) £1.00. The race equality hoax destroyed by an academic expert. 70pp.

DID SIX MILLION REALLY DIE? (Richard Harwood) 50p. The fact-filled pamphlet that refutes the holocaust legends and has evoked Zionist frenzy. 28pp.

THE HOAX OF THE TWENTIETH CENTURY (Prof. A.R. Butz) £2.40. A scientist applies clinical methods to an investigation of the 'holocaust' and completely dissects the myth. 2nd edition 1977, 315pp.

THE MONEY BOMB (James Gibb Stewart) £3.95. Discusses the failure of our debt-based financial system and describes its role in creating inflation. 1983, 158pp.

THE USES OF RELIGION (Prof. Revilo P. Oliver) £1.00. Examines the value of religion as a socially cohesive force. 1982, 36pp.

RACE AND POLITICS (H.B. Isherwood) 30p. Shows that a sense of racial identity is an essential element of nationhood. 1974, 36pp.

RELIGION AND THE RACIAL CONTROVERSY (H.B. Isherwood) 30p. Shows that racial integration is not ordained by Christianity but that the reverse is true. 1970, 16pp.

THE LEMMING FOLK (James Gibb Stewart) £7.95. A witty and factual examination of current attitudes and intellectual fashion that are threatening our future. 1980, 246pp.

THE GRAND DESIGN (Douglas Reed) £2.00. A behind-the-scenes look at modern world history. 1977, 45pp.

THE DISPOSSESSED MAJORITY (Wilmot Robertson) £7.50. This book has attracted worldwide attention, dealing as it does with racial problems in America — and not just simply the black-white situation. 2nd edition 1981, 613pp.

RACE AND REASON (Carlton Putnam) £4.00. A plain, unemotional account of the race issue that has been an invaluable contribution to the debate ever since it was first published. 1961 (rep. 1977), 125pp.

RACE AND REALITY (Carlton Putnam) £4.00. A companion volume and supplement to *Race and Reason*, showing how the truth about the race issue has been suppressed by interested parties. 1967 (rep. 1977), 192pp.

VENTILATIONS (Wilmot Robertson) £4.50. The sequel to the same author's widely acclaimed *The Dispossessed Majority*. Revised edition 1982, 113pp.

THE GLOBAL MANIPULATORS (Robert Eringer) £2.50. While the author repudiates any conspiratorial view of world events, he still provides a comprehensive exposure of the organisation and influence of the Bilderberg Group and the Trilateral Commission. 1980, 95pp.

PEACE STUDIES IN OUR SCHOOLS: PROPAGANDA FOR DEFENCELESSNESS (John Marks) £2.95. An important book on a major current educational scandal: the indoctrination of schoolchildren by pacifist and unilateralist 'educationalists'. This topical and factual study makes it plain that we are not simply confronted with odd teachers here and there abusing their positions but with a whole network of organisations and institutions, some publicly funded, co-ordinating their attack on young people's minds. 1984, 63pp.

THE ZIONIST CONNECTION (Alfred M. Lilienthal) £13.00. The second edition of a sensational exposure by a non-Zionist Jew of Zionist power politics. 1982, 904pp.

TREASON AT WESTMINSTER (Dr. Kitty Little) 50p. Text of a memorandum to the Royal Commission on Criminal Procedure entitled: *Infiltration of the government by members of subversive or criminal organisations for the purpose of furthering the interests of those organisations*. 1979, 24pp.

A WORLD COUP D'ETAT IS PLANNED (Dr. Kitty Little) 50p. Updates the above, giving details of the destructive effects of treaties foisted on Britain. 1984, 66pp.

Here is the latest list of our books. The prices given in each case do not include postal charges, which should be estimated by calculating one eighth the total value of the order. Money should be enclosed with all orders, and all cheques or postal orders should be made out to the BNP Book Service only and not included in remittances sent for other items. Orders are likely to be dealt with more quickly if the fact that they are intended for the BNP Book Service is indicated on the envelope. Please send all orders to the address at top right.

THE COLLAPSE OF BRITISH POWER (Correlli Barnett) £8.95. A welcome reissue of a vitally important book first published in 1972. Shows how liberalism and lack of political realism brought about Britain's 20th century decline in the political, industrial and military fields. 643pp.

THE ZUNDEL TRIAL AND FREE SPEECH (Doug Christie) £2.25. The defence counsel's address to the jury in the infamous trial in Canada of Ernst Zundel for daring to question the holocaust myth. A ringing defence of free enquiry and free speech. 1985, 32pp.

F.D.R. — THE OTHER SIDE OF THE COIN (Hamilton Fish) £5.00. An exposure of President Roosevelt's war guilt and trickery by a former associate. 1976, 255pp.

STATE SECRETS (Count Leon de Poncins) £3.50. A study of some little-known state documents that throw much light on recent history. 1975, 191pp.

CENSORED HISTORY (Eric Butler) £1.50. An examination of some of the facts of recent history that have not found their way into textbooks or newspapers. 1974, 48pp.

THE CONTROVERSY OF ZION (Douglas Reed) £9.00. A best-seller in nationalist circles ever since its publication. A study of Jewish-Gentile relations since biblical times, packed with little-known and long-suppressed facts. 1979, 580pp.

THE NAMELESS WAR (Capt. A.H.M. Ramsay) £3.25. An outline of the secret history of the events leading up to the Second World War, including information on previous upheavals. The author had the honour of being imprisoned without trial for four years during World War II, despite his status as a member of parliament. Originally published 1952, 128pp.

THE SIX MILLION RECONSIDERED (W. Grimstad) £2.50. Examines not only the 'holocaust' but other topics involved in the Jewish Question, including the exploitation of the 'anti-semitic' smear. 1977, 170pp.

NUREMBERG AND OTHER WAR CRIMES TRIALS: A NEW LOOK (Richard Harwood) 75p. A scholarly exposure of these cynical travesties of justice. 1978, 68pp.

RACIAL KINSHIP (H.B. Isherwood) 30p. A further well argued presentation of the case for 'racism' by the author of *Race and Politics*. 1974, 36pp.

CONSPIRACY OR DEGENERACY? (Prof. Revilo P. Oliver) £4.00. Text of a lecture by the author, a brilliant classical scholar, to New England rally for God, Family and Country in 1966. 76pp.

AMERICA'S DECLINE: THE EDUCATION OF A CONSERVATIVE (Prof. Revilo P. Oliver) £3.50. A collection of articles and reviews on various aspects of the collapse of modern civilisation. 1981, 375pp.

POPULISM AND ELITISM (Prof. Revilo P. Oliver) £4.00. A study of the purpose and function of political power and the elements which wield it in the present century. 1982, 101pp.

THE ENEMY OF EUROPE (Francis Parker Yockey & Prof. Revilo P. Oliver) £6.00. Thought-provoking essay on the powers set on destroying the European peoples, written by Yockey and accompanied by a review of Yockey's work by Prof. Oliver. 1981, 240pp.

I.Q. AND RACIAL DIFFERENCES (Prof. Henry Garrett) £1.00. Clear and concise summary of the evidence of racial differences in intelligence and their significance in education. 1980, 57pp.

THE UNHOLY ALLIANCE (Patrick Walsh) £2.25. A former Canadian undercover police officer exposes the dirty tricks employed by Zionists and Communists to misdirect and destroy patriotic groups and to suppress free enquiry. 1986, 34pp.

IS THERE INTELLIGENT LIFE ON EARTH? (Prof. Revilo P. Oliver) £4.00. An entertaining survey of modern fallacies and their charlatan promoters. 1983, 94pp.

THE GREAT HOLOCAUST TRIAL (Michael A. Hoffmann II) £4.00. Extremely comprehensive and well written report on the infamous trial of Ernst Zundel in Canada, also covered in *The Zundel Trial and Free Speech*. 1985, 95pp.

OUT! U.K. IN E.E.C. SPELLS DISASTER (Oliver Smedley) £5.00. The political and economic consequences of Britain's membership of the Common Market. 1986, 79pp.

BOUND TO FAIL (Sundry authors) £2.00. A series of articles by various experts covering every aspect of Britain's disastrous membership of the EEC. 1987, 62pp.

TRUTH OUT OF AFRICA (Ivor Benson) £3.25. Developments in Africa, particularly 'Zimbabwe', since 1960, set against a background of the forces at work in the world as a whole. 2nd ed. 1984, 112pp.

TRADITIONALISTS' ANTHOLOGY (Compiled by Elizabeth Lady Freeman) £9.00. A fascinating collection of quotations from a wide variety of writers, many of which are of direct relevance to the nationalist cause. 1986, 185pp.

CHURCHILL'S WAR (David Irving) £16.95. The highly controversial story of Britain's World War II leader during the late pre-war and wartime years. This book was shunned by all the 'establishment' publishers on account of its startling disclosures. Churchill-worshippers and believers in the 'orthodox' version of modern history will not like this book, as it describes its central character as the man who ruined Britain and the British Empire in his insane vendetta against Hitler. 1987, 591pp.

GAY LESSONS (Rachel Tingle) £2.50. A topical exposure of the manner in which homosexuality is encouraged among young people by official bodies at public expense. 1986, 48pp.

THE MURDER OF BRITISH INDUSTRY (John Boyd) 75p. An exposure of the catastrophic economic and industrial effects of Britain's membership of the EEC. 1987, 16pp.

THIS AGE OF CONFLICT (Ivor Benson) £2.00. An exposure of the illegitimate power-structures that exist in today's world. 1987, 61pp.


THE ELITE (Barbara Cole) £8.75. The exciting story of the Rhodesian Special Air Service and its campaign against terrorism. 1984, 449pp.

THE BARNES TRILOGY (Harry Elmer Barnes) £2.75. A combined volume containing this famous American revisionist's pamphlets: *Court Historians versus Revisionism*; *Blasting the Historical Blackout*; and *Revisionism and Brainwashing*. These first appeared in 1952, 1963 and 1963 respectively, and this combined edition is dated 1979, with 133pp.

IS THE DIARY OF ANNE FRANK GENUINE? (Robert Faurisson) £2.75. Reprinted from *The Journal of Historical Review*, this is a critical commentary on an emotive historical document. 1982, 62pp.

THE ZIONIST TERROR NETWORK. £2.00. A 12-page report on the murderous activities in the United States of the Jewish Defence League, compiled by the staff of the Institute for Historical Review.

RACE (J.R. Baker) £7.60. The author, a professional zoologist for 50 years, provides an objective and scholarly account of what race means and how the concept has affected human thinking. A specialist book, but one of great importance. 1974, 625pp.



**NOW
AVAILABLE
AT LAST
For price, see
top Col. 1**

OTHER PUBLICITY MATERIAL

FROM B.N.P. HEADQUARTERS (Orders with cash to: PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS)

BNP Statement of Policy

An up-to-date *resume* of the main political objectives of the British National Party. 23p post-free.

BNP Election Manifesto 1983

Booklet outlining the policies on which the British National Party fought the 1983 general election. Entitled *Vote for Britain* (23pp). 43p post-free.

BNP leaflets

Fed up with the party politicians? Reprint of a popular old nationalist leaflet brought up to date. Deals with British National Party policies on the main national issues.

Have you been thrown on the scrapheap by foreign imports? This leaflet deals with unemployment and explains in simple terms how millions of British jobs are being destroyed by the international free trade policies of successive governments. A brief and concise argument for economic nationalism.

Violent crime in Britain: the horrifying truth. Deals with muggings, rapes and other violent attacks on defenceless people, giving examples of specific cases. Calls for much tougher treatment of violent criminals.

This is OUR country. Leaflet questioning people on their views on race riots and multi-racialism and telling them that if they answer affirmatively their place is with the British National Party. Features vivid picture of riot area in flames.

If only we were black... Reprint, updated, of a previous BNP leaflet, drawing attention to the special favours and facilities granted to ethnic minority groups by national and local government, and calling on Whites — particularly the young — to fight for their rights.

Can you spot a red teacher? Updated reprint of an old nationalist leaflet aimed especially at school students and giving advice on how to combat left-wing brainwashing in the classroom.

The great Tory con-trick. Leaflet exposing the way the Tories are currently trying to woo the people with patriotic slogans, while their policies underneath are aimed at the destruction of Britain.

What's the difference? Leaflet spotlighting the similarities between the policies of the Westminster parties over major issues, and the fact that only the BNP offers a real alternative.

Unite with your friends or perish! Leaflet appealing to loyalist Ulster people to join forces with their supporters on the mainland. Lists BNP policies for Northern Ireland.

These leaflets cost £6.00 per 1,000 with postage charges of £2.35 for 1,000 and £2.75 for 2,000.

BNP posters

Multi-racial Britain: the experiment that failed. Help us end it! Collage of riot photos.

Oppose the disarmers! Build up our forces! Make Britain strong! Photo of Royal Marine in action with machine gun.

She freezes in winter while Third World gets £1,000 million a year. Put British people before aliens! Photo of old lady by unlit fire.

Support Ulster: smash terrorism. Hang IRA murderers! Drawing of man holding hangman's rope with wife and youngster and flag in background.

Protect British jobs: ban imports! Special unemployment poster with photo of Japanese cars coming off boat.

Protect our women and old folk: stamp out muggers! Drawing of mugging gang.

What's happened to free speech? Up-date of poster first produced in 1986 showing how the state is trying to gag those who oppose the alien invasion of Britain.

Support White South Africa. This slogan in large white letters on blue background.

These posters measure 12.6in x 17.7in. Each contains the BNP name and address and logo in red, white and blue. Prices: 1-9 at 12p each; 10-19 at 10p each; 20-49 at 8p each; 50 or over at 6p each. Postage should be estimated on the basis of each poster weighing 10g.

BNP Stickers

With slogans:-

Fight subversion: smash communism!

Put British people before aliens! (same as poster)

Start repatriation!

Make Britain strong! (same as poster)

Ban imports! (same as poster)

Stamp out muggers! (same as poster)

Hang IRA murderers! (same as poster)

Protect our young from child murderers: bring back the rope!

Scrap the Anglo-Irish Agreement: Keep Ulster British!

Love the White Race: protect its future!

Abortion is child murder: make it illegal!

Protect us from AIDS: Outlaw homosexuality!

Stickers are self-adhesive, measuring 3.5in x 2.5in. Each contains BNP name and address and logo in red, white and blue. Price: £2 per 100 plus 24p p&p.

BNP badges

British National Party badges with logo in red, white and blue. Price: £1.25 post-free.

BNP key rings

Key rings with attachment bearing BNP logo in red, white and blue. £1.75 post-free.

BNP cloth logo

BNP logo in cloth, also in red, white and blue. Suitable for sewing onto anorak, etc. £1.50 post-free.

BNP Recordings

Rally '87. Three-hour video-recording of BNP London rally, October 31st 1987. Hear and see speeches by Ronald Rickcord, Ian Sloan, Gus McLeod, Dr. Peter Peel, Richard Edmonds, Stanley Clayton-Garnett, David Bruce and John Tyndall.

Price: £18.00 plus 64p p&p.

Rally '82: Sound recording of BNP rally in London, October 16th 1982, the theme of which was 'Unite and fight for Britain's future!'


Side 1: Includes speech by Charles Parker.

Side 2: Speech by John Tyndall.

PLEASE NOTE: The cassette recording 'Voices of Nationalism', issued by BNP Recordings, has been withdrawn from distribution. Plans are being made to reproduce one side of the recording, John Tyndall's talk on 'The coming British Revolution' on a new cassette in due course.

BNP video

RALLY '87



Video-recording of the
British National Party rally
in London, October 31st
1987

Hear:-

RONALD RICKCORD
IAN SLOAN
GUS McLEOD
Dr. PETER PEEL
RICHARD EDMONDS
STANLEY CLAYTON-GARNETT
DAVID BRUCE
JOHN TYNDALL

BNP Recordings, PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS

Tyndall Speaks I: Two studio talks:-

Side 1: Talk on 'Our Anglo-Saxon heritage' (about the worldwide dispersion of the peoples of British stock).
Side 2: Talk on 'Britain's economic crisis' (recorded in 1981).

Tyndall Speaks II: Two studio talks:-

Side 1: Talk on 'The case for Nationalism' (the internationalist argument demolished).
Side 2: Talk on 'Tragedy of the 20th Century' (analysis of World War II).

Tyndall Speaks III: Two studio talks:-

Side 1: Talk on 'Why we must repatriate'.
Side 2: Talk on 'Foundations of the national community' (Discourse on racial nationalism and its concepts of government and citizenship).

Tyndall Speaks IV: Two studio talks:-

Side 1: Talk on 'The way to full employment'.
Side 2: Talk on 'The racial time-bomb (A thorough demolition of the multi-racialist point of view and a warning of the dire consequences facing Britain if the multi-racial experiment is not ended).

Cassette recordings available at £3.50 plus 24p p&p.

FROM NORWICH BRANCH B.N.P. (Orders with cash to A9 Johnson Place, Norwich NR2 2SA)

BNP self-adhesive stickers, beer mats, ball pens & other items

BNP stickers with slogans:-

(1) Hang convicted terrorist murderers; (2) Boycott the boycott: buy South African; (3) Put Britons first: end positive discrimination for aliens; (4) Stop the riots — peace through repatriation; (5) Smash the IRA — Keep Ulster British; (6) Join us now! Make Britain a riot-free zone; (7) Our country — love it or lose it! (8) Every vote counts — Vote British National Party. Stickers are all self-adhesive, with party logo, name and address.

Prices: £3.50 for 250; £6.50 for 500; £12.50 for 1,000. 3-colour round stickers with BNP logo in red, white and blue (self-adhesive):-

Prices: 1.25in diameter £4.25 for 500; 2.5in diameter £6.25 for 500.

Spearhead stickers: 2in-square stickers advertising Spearhead magazine: £1.18 per 100 or £5 for roll of 500.

BNP beer mats with large red, white and blue party logo and party name and address in blue on white background. Ideal recruitment aid for leaving in pubs or for nationalist socials. Samples for £1 or packs: £5/£10/£20 inc. p&p. BNP branch fund cards. Sample card for 26p in stamps. 10 cards for £1.50 inc. p&p.

BNP notepads with party logo printed in red, white and blue on each sheet. 1 notepad: £1 plus 24p p&p; 4 notepads: £4 plus 85p p&p.

BNP ball pens (mixed) all stamped with party name: 25 for £5.

BNP pencils (mixed) all stamped with party name: 30 for £5.

FROM LIVERPOOL BRANCH B.N.P.

(Orders with cash to: PO Box 116,
Liverpool LS9 1SH)

More BNP ball pens

Ball pens bearing the slogan: 'British National Party — for race and nation'. Sample for 13p post-free.

* * *

Candour

British views letter, founded by A.K. Chesterton to defend national sovereignty against the menace of international finance. Subscription: £5 per year. Obtainable from: Forest House, Liss Forest, Hants. GU33 7DD.

Australia First

A hard-hitting quarterly journal fighting for the nationalist cause in Australia. Includes events from home and abroad. Subscription rates: Aust \$8; NZ \$12; Others \$15. Obtainable from: PO Box R345, Royal Exchange, NSW 2000, Australia. Overseas: cash preferred or add \$1 to cheque.

The Thunderbolt

Hard-hitting paper for American and other white race patriots. Sample copy for £1. Write to: PO Box 1211, Marietta, Ga. 30061, U.S.A.

BUILDING FUND: £3,716 NEEDED!

The total sum raised in contributions to the BNP Headquarters Building Fund during the last month is £557. 75. This is most certainly an improvement on figures for the previous two months but is still far from what is needed. We hope that during the coming month an even better effort will be made by our readers. It is possible that Neil Farnell's article on fund raising has stirred some consciences.

£3,716 still needs to be raised.

We hope that in the next month all supporters will dig deep into their pockets and do their very best to raise more. We have set ourselves the target of acquiring our HQ building sometime this year. If all pull their financial weight, this CAN be done!

All contributions should be marked 'Headquarters Fund' and sent to: PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS.

£7,000

£6,000


£5,000

£4,000

£3,000

£2,000

£1,000



Overseas subscribers PLEASE REMEMBER THIS EXTRA!

ONCE AGAIN we must remind our overseas subscribers of the commission that is demanded by our bankers for the conversion of all overseas currencies into Sterling. In the case of all cheques received in such currencies, an extra charge of £5 is made. In addition to this, the remittance has to be paid into our bank on a separate paying-in slip of its own, instead of it being entered together with other remittances on the same slip. Each separate payment into the bank adds 50p to our bank charges. Therefore we are forced, by no choice of our own, to add a charge of £5.50 to every payment made us by cheque in any currency other than Sterling.

In the case of payments from overseas made in banknotes, the extra charge made for conversion into Sterling is £2.

Some overseas subscribers still tend to forget this when sending in their payments. We therefore have to debit their accounts to the amount of £5.50, and this results in their being sent a lesser number issues to the equivalent value before their subscriptions are again due for renewal.

We therefore ask all such readers to remember this rule and to be sure to send money to cover this bankers' commission and charges.

Ulster trip: interest building up!

INTEREST is now building up in the planned BNP activists' trip to Northern Ireland this month, and the expedition should be most enjoyable to those taking part, as well as profitable to the party.

To remind readers again, the party will be leaving London at 9 a.m. on Monday, July 11th and journeying up the M1 and M6 motorways and then along the A74 and A75 roads to catch the Cairnryan ferry in the evening. The party will take part in the July 12th Loyalist parade and will use the occasion to make contacts and distribute BNP literature. It will return on Wednesday, July 13th. The new cost per head will be £30 (a drop from the £40 stated last month). All those interested in taking part should contact the organiser of the trip, Mr. Richard Edmonds, by writing to BNP Head Office at PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS.

A tribute to BNP youth

by A. HALL

Our land now sees invasion
By foreign alien hosts;
And parliamentary treason
Ignores true Britons' votes.
But in city, town and village
King Arthur's sons are waking —
To halt the rape and pillage
Of 'new' Britain in the making.
'Neath patriots' banners streaming,
Our warrior youth unbowed
Combat this 'one-world' dreaming,
And forge a Britain proud.
For in their blood is honour,
Pride in their fathers' race;
And the multi-cultural squalor
In **their** Britain has no place.

They herald in a future bold,
These lion-hearted youth;
And, as their fathers did of old,
Serve Britain and the truth.
They are our hope, our future bright;
Their birthright they'll not yield,
But battle as the bravest knights,
With British pride their shield.
With Race and Nation as their creed,
The earth beneath them quaking,
Her young in thousands they now lead
To Britain's new awakening.
Our history's glorious pages
Lie open to unfold them,
To tell in future ages
The debt Great Britain owes them.

BRITISH NATIONALIST

British Nationalist is a tabloid published in support of the British National Party, normally on a monthly basis. Sample copies will be sent on receipt of 36p (covering p&p). Subscription for 12 issues is £4.30 (British Isles) or £5.75 (overseas surface mail). Bulk rates are as follows:-

10 copies	£2.00	+ 49p post
25 copies	£4.50	+ £1.60 post
50 copies	£8.00	+ £2.00 post
100 copies	£14.00	+ £2.65 post
150 copies	£20.00	+ £2.90 post
200 copies	£25.00	+ £3.25 post
300 copies	£35.00	+ £3.75 post
400 copies	£44.00	+ £4.75 post
500 copies	£53.00) Bulk rates
1,000 copies	£103.00) by Roadline

Cheques/postal orders to *British Nationalist*, PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS. Please keep orders and enquiries concerning *British Nationalist* totally separate from other correspondence in order to avoid confusion and delay.

• A VISION OF GRANDEUR (Contd. from page 8)

remembers the trying circumstances in which it was written. John Tyndall deserves our congratulations and thanks for producing the book. It should be read by all nationalists; indeed, it deserves to be read by every Briton who has a genuine interest and concern for the future of our country and our posterity.

The Eleventh Hour is indisputedly the most outstanding political testament written by any British patriot in modern times, and it will undoubtedly become the standard work of reference on British Nationalism. Furthermore, I am convinced that in the years to come it will take its rightful place on bookshelves up and down the country, and indeed throughout the world, as one of the great political tracts of our times. I cannot resist ending this review without adding just one more quote from this marvellous book:-

"Our creed is one of heroism, and the coming epoch calls for the return of the heroic virtues. Not for us the cosy tranquillity of the political soft option; for us only the long march through the cold night that must precede the glorious dawn."

Sooner or later that glorious dawn will surely come. By heeding the message that John Tyndall gives us in *The Eleventh Hour*, we can help to accelerate its arrival.

'FREEDOM', 'HUMAN RIGHTS' AND 'GLASNOST' — ALL WITH A STRAIGHT FACE!

(Contd. from page 6)

down, and the kiddies drop off to sleep — ready for more pleasant fairy stories when they pick up their comics the next morning. This is the level at which the great affairs of our times are discussed. This is *glasnost* — western style!

The Sound of Murder

(A 70th BIRTHDAY ODE)

by 'Sniper'

Sing a song of murder,
A trendy hymn of hate;
We've got the Bishops' blessing,
And Auntie's too (she's great!)
South Africa's the villain,
So don't forget to boo;
It's 'racists' we are fighting
(And if you're white, that's you!)
Never mind the Russkies;
Afghanistan don't count;
It's the Boers we're out to butcher,
And on their grave we'll mount.
Mandela is our hero;
The saintly Nelson, who
Has taught his 'freedom fighters'
To burn and bomb and hew.
It's true the job is messy
(You know what omelettes are);
But soon we'll have a nation
That flies the old Red Star!
And then we'll be the masters —
Oh, what a change you'll see! —
As all those who've defied us
Receive a necklace — free!
So sing and twang and bellow,
And raise your voices high,
As Auntie and her pop pets
Combine to make Whites die!

NORTH WEST LONDON GROUP FORMED

A NEW GROUP of the British National Party was formed for the North West London area last month. The group, though based in Camden borough, will in fact be extending its activity over the whole of the NW postal area.

This is a very welcome development, since, up to now, almost all organised BNP activity in London, both north and south of the river, has been concentrated on the eastern side of the city, with very little westwards.

Anyone wishing to contact the new North West London BNP should write to: BM Box 3958, London WC1N 3XX.

Mr. Levin declines to answer

READERS will recall that in the April issue our editor responded to an article written by Mr. Bernard Levin in *The Times* in which he insinuated that everyone who disbelieved in the 'holocaust' or in Jewish conspiracies was a 'loony'. Our editor suggested to Mr. Levin that he may care to engage in a debate on the subject so that he could expose the arguments of such 'loonies' as groundless. Our editor's letter to Mr. Levin was posted in April. Now, two months later, no reply from Mr. Levin has been received.

APPEAL

Loughton branch of the British National Party is collecting patriotic/nationalistic poetry, rhyme and verse for compilation, with the intention of reprinting this in a booklet. Please send all such material, however long, to: BNP Loughton Branch, PO Box 12, Loughton, Essex IG10 3BU.

Contact your local party unit

THE BRITISH NATIONAL PARTY is organised into active units extending over most of the United Kingdom. Below we give a list of the local addresses of the main units:-

NORTH WEST LONDON

BM Box 3958, London WC1N 3XX

EAST LONDON

PO Box 300, Emma Street, Hackney, London E2 7BZ

SOUTH LONDON

PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS

Bromley

PO Box 212, Bromley, Kent BR1 4JP

WEST KENT

PO Box 48, Tonbridge TN11 9JF

EAST KENT

73 Shirkoak Park, Woodchurch, Ashford

LOUGHTON

PO Box 12, Loughton, Essex IG10 3BU

SUFFOLK

2 Albert Road, Framlingham

Lowestoft

33 Ashley Downs, Lowestoft, Suffolk NR32 5AD

NORFOLK

9 Johnson Place, Norwich NR2 2SA

SOUTH WALES

PO Box 214, Cardiff CF3 9YB

BIRMINGHAM

PO Box 771, Great Barr, Birmingham B44 9LZ

EAST MIDLANDS

PO Box 148, Leicester LE3 2YD

LIVERPOOL

PO Box 116, Liverpool L69 1SH

WIRRAL

PO Box 17, Birkenhead L41 3SF

MANCHESTER & SALFORD

PO Box 16, Salford M6 5EP

WEST YORKSHIRE

PO Box BR 10, Bramley, Leeds 13

SOUTH YORKSHIRE

PO Box 73, Doncaster DN4 6BS

CLEVELAND

PO Box 67, Middlesbrough TS1 4YY

TYNE & WEAR

PO Box 8, South Shields NE33 1LP

SCOTLAND

PO Box 85, Glasgow G3 8UL

The BNP also has units in Gloucestershire, Hertfordshire, Bedford, Luton, Cambridge, Brierley Hill (West Midlands), Burton-on-Trent, Nottingham, North Nottinghamshire, Bolton & Bury, Warrington, Halifax, Wakefield, Sheffield, Darlington, Sunderland and Cumbria. If you want to make contact with any of these units, or start a new unit, please write to BNP Head Office at PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS.

AVAILABLE BACK-ISSUES

No. Month	No. Month	No. Month	No. Month
90 November 1975	141 July 1980	163 May 1982	200 January 1986
100 April 1976	144 October 1980	164 June 1982	205 November 1985
104 December 1976	145 November 1980	165 July 1982	207 January 1986
105 February 1977	146 December 1980	166 August 1982	209 March 1986
126 February 1979	147 January 1981	167 September 1982	211 May 1986
127 March 1979	148 February 1981	168 October 1982	212 June 1986
128 May 1979	149 March 1981	169 November 1982	213 July 1986
130 August 1979	150 April 1981	170 December 1982	214 December 1986
132 October 1979	151 May 1981	171 January 1983	215 January 1987
133 November 1979	152 June 1981	172 February 1983	216 February 1987
134 December 1979	153 July 1981	176 June 1983	217 March 1987
136 February 1980	154 August 1981	177 July 1983	218 April 1987
137 March 1980	155 September 1981	178 August 1983	220 June 1987
138 April 1980	156 October 1981	179 September 1983	221 July 1987
139 May 1980	157 November 1981	180 October 1983	222 August 1987
140 June 1980	159 January 1982	199 May 1985	223 September 1987

And all subsequent issues

All copies are priced at the present rate of 50p per copy. Postal charges should be estimated on the basis of one copy weighing approximately 60g. Orders with cash to: PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS.

SUPPORT FUND

Although the production costs of our magazine have been considerably reduced by the acquisition of typesetting equipment, these are still greater than is our income from sales and subscriptions. We therefore still rely very much on donations to our regular support fund.

Please send all contributions to PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS. Should anyone require a receipt for a contribution of under £10. would they please supply SAE.

WELL, IF IT ISN'T...!

(Contd. from page 14)

knowledge of his previous sex offences.

Again, we cannot know the truth or otherwise of Mr. Lewis's allegations that Mr. Hill said things to indicate he was anti-Jewish. It is quite possible that Mr. Lewis invented this in order to win the court's sympathy. But knowing Mr. Hill as we do we feel it to be more than possible that he made remarks to Mr. Lewis of a strongly anti-Jewish nature. Our experience is that Mr. Hill will say practically anything for effect, and to suit his purpose of the moment. In our company he used to engage in regular tirades against Jews, just as no doubt in the company of his present *Searchlight* buddies he will engage in regular tirades against 'anti-semites'.

We wonder, however, whether *Searchlight* will still have the same confidence in its new recruit after this little story from the Lincolnshire coast. Was Ray Hill really a genuine 'anti-fascist' infiltrating the BNP and other groups in order to serve the anti-fascist cause? Or is he a secret fascist infiltrating the 'anti-fascist' movement in order to serve fascism? Which is the genuine Ray Hill? Is there indeed **any** genuine Ray Hill?

We would not like to be in the shoes of the *Searchlight* editor in his dilemma — whether to keep Hill on or fire him, whether to disbelieve the tale of Mr. Lewis or believe it. Disbelieving Mr. Lewis of course involves disbelieving one of *Searchlight* editor's kith and kin — and that is not a thing he is likely to enjoy doing.

In the meantime, if we hear any more news

about the comings and goings of this upright citizen — who, entirely in pursuit of what he thought was right, and in no way for the purpose of any personal gain, exposed the 'nazi' menace in Britain — we will duly keep our readers informed.

FOR THE
FICTION
SHELVES
Ray Hill's
highly
imaginative
book

RAY HILL WITH ANDREW BELL
THE OTHER FACE OF
TERROR



PUTTING THE CHURCH ON THE SPOT

(Contd. from page 9)

Christians who know what is being done in the interests of promoting an anti-Christian creed are themselves committing sin by default if they **fail** to oppose such a practice.

See what *The Sunday Express* of the 5th January 1986 had to say about the 30-year release of Cabinet papers:-

"...showing how close the governments of Churchill and Eden came to cracking down hard on immigration? If they had pushed their plans through, we know how much misery we would have been spared today. The racial tension in our inner cities, the riots, the language and cultural problems in our schools. It all came down to one thing. They hadn't the guts to stand up for what they knew to be right **against the abuse of the left**. So they left it to a future generation to pay the price of their cowardice...But isn't it frightening to think that even today ministers may be sweeping problems under the carpet because **they too live in terror of the pinko establishment?**" (my emphasis).

Here *The Sunday Express* substantiates the motivation of the left in its militant promotion of multi-racialism. How can you, as a Conservative, let alone a minister

of the church, justify turning a blind eye to that?

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, if you maintain, as I gather you do, that humans have no right to facilitate the genocide of an ethnic group, you must surely accept that it is justifiable, or actually meritorious, actively to resist human attempts to contrive the genocide of one's own group. In that case, why do you not come out openly in defence of British Nationalists who are practically alone in this struggle, and who get only abuse and vilification for their efforts?

What is the reason for your own inaction and non-committal? Are you subject to duress or intimidation? Or are you out to ingratiate yourself with the church hierarchy? Or with the Tory hierarchy? Or are you afraid of what the left might do?

Your explanation would be very much appreciated.

Yours sincerely, etc.

WHY THE SILENCE?

Why does my correspondent feel the need to be so non-committal?

Perhaps he did **not** mean to imply that God has willed the extinction of the British Race; but in that case let him say what he does mean. And if he does not know, let him say **that**.

Perhaps he did not mean to imply that it was sinful to try to avert such a disaster. Same comments apply!

Perhaps what he meant was that, although it is wrong for humans to exterminate an ethnic group, it is also wrong to try and **stop** them doing so — in case the end result is God's will.

Let him explain what he means in either case, and justify his views in a manner he would expect his listeners to be capable of understanding.

As it is, he has significantly chosen a moment to discontinue the correspondence, which leaves his position unclear on the very issue on which clarity was sought.

From the point of view of those who desire British survival, this professing true-blue cleric might as well be — and perhaps is — well and truly aligned with those who desire our genocide.

Whichever way it is, this question must be put: why is it next to impossible to elicit from him, as from countless others of similar ilk, clear-cut answers to our queries? Are such people in fact taking part in a deliberate attack on our nation and race? Or are they under duress or intimidation to keep their mouths shut about it?

Won't even a solitary one of them let us into this deep, deep secret?

Find out about the British National Party

Send 30p for information pack

To:
P.O. BOX 446
LONDON SE23 2LS

Name.....

Address.....

I enclose.....